ARTICLE

Language Education Theories and Methods in the Context of Turkey: A Theoretical and Field-Based Analysis

Hasan Guneş [1,2]

[1] *Faculty of Languages and Arts, Universitas Negeri Medan, Medan 20221, North Sumatra, Indonesia*

[2] *Faculty of Education and General Studies, UCYP University, Kuantan 26060, Pahang, Malaysia*

ABSTRACT

Language education is an interdisciplinary field aimed at developing individuals' skills in learning and using a foreign or second language. Encompassing the social, cultural, and cognitive dimensions of language, this process has become a fundamental component of contemporary educational curricula. Language acquisition theories offer diverse perspectives on how language learning occurs. Key approaches such as behaviorism, cognitive theory, interactionist theory, and sociocultural theory emphasize the importance of cognitive processes, social interactions, and environmental factors in language learning. These theories directly guide the development of methods and techniques used in language education. Language teaching methods vary widely, ranging from the classical grammar-translation method to communicative approaches, total physical response, and task-based learning. Each method differs in its objectives, implementation, and effectiveness. Current approaches prioritize learner-centered, interaction-based, and technology-supported instructional models. Comparisons of language teaching methods help educators understand which approach is most effective under specific conditions. However, in practice, challenges arise due to classroom settings, student profiles, resources, and teacher competencies. Therefore, adopting flexible and multidimensional approaches in language education is critical for successful learning experiences. In addition, recent developments in digital learning environments, mobile applications, and artificial intelligence-based tools have significantly transformed the landscape of language education. These innovations not only facilitate personalized and autonomous learning but also enhance learners' motivation and engagement. Consequently, integrating technology into

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Hasan Guneş, Department of Turkish Language and Literature, Division of Turkish Folklore, Anadolu University, Tepebaşı, Eskişehir 26470, Turkey; Email: hasangunes32@hotmail.com

language education has become increasingly indispensable, especially in addressing the diverse needs of modern learners across formal and informal contexts.

Keywords: Language Education; Second Language Acquisition; Language Teaching Methods; Language Acquisition Theories

1. Introduction

Language education can be defined as a systematic set of practices aimed at improving individuals' language skills. Methods used in both first and foreign language teaching underscore the significance of language as a multifaceted communication tool[1]. In the era of globalization, language education has evolved beyond grammar and vocabulary instruction to become a crucial field for intercultural communication and cognitive development[2].

The teaching of global languages, particularly English, facilitates economic, social, and academic interactions worldwide, offering individuals new opportunities. Within this context, numerous theories and models have been developed concerning the complexity of language acquisition and the methods employed. Additionally, technology-assisted learning and distance education have opened new horizons for language teaching[3]. This paper analyzes the definition, historical development, core theories, methods, and applications of language education in Türkiye, providing a comprehensive overview of contemporary perspectives in the field.

Language education in Türkiye has been influenced by global developments and shaped by local educational policies. From elementary education to higher education, the importance of foreign language proficiency has been emphasized in various national strategic documents. However, despite the integration of English as a compulsory subject in the curriculum from early grades, proficiency levels remain suboptimal when compared to international benchmarks[4].

One of the major reasons behind these outcomes is the misalignment between policy and practice. While curricula and official policies underscore communicative competence and learner-centered teaching, the actual classroom practices often emphasize grammar translation and rote learning. This divergence raises important questions about teacher training, assessment methods, and the implementation of innovative teaching models[5].

Moreover, Türkiye's dynamic socio-cultural structure, regional disparities, and technological accessibility have significantly impacted the equitable distribution and effectiveness of language education. Urban centers with access to better infrastructure, qualified educators, and private educational support demonstrate higher levels of proficiency, whereas rural areas often struggle with limited resources[6].

In recent years, the role of digital platforms and artificial intelligence-based tools in language learning has grown considerably. Mobile applications such as Duolingo and Babbel, along with immersive environments supported by augmented and virtual reality, have transformed traditional learning environments[7]. These tools enable autonomous learning, cater to individual learning styles, and increase learner engagement. Nevertheless, the integration of these technologies into the formal education system remains inconsistent and under-researched[8].

Additionally, the COVID-19 pandemic has had a transformative impact on language education. The sudden shift to online learning highlighted both the potential and limitations of digital pedagogy. While some institutions successfully transitioned to digital platforms, others faced challenges related to internet access, digital literacy, and content adaptation[9]. This shift has prompted a reevaluation of language teaching models, promoting blended learning and flipped classroom methodologies as sustainable solutions.

Global pedagogical trends now emphasize communicative language teaching (CLT), task-based learning (TBLT), and content and language integrated learning (CLIL). These approaches focus on language use in authentic contexts, collaboration, and learner autonomy. In Türkiye, pilot implementations of CLIL in bilingual education contexts have shown promise, yet broader application faces challenges such as teacher preparedness and resource availability[10].

Despite these challenges, Türkiye has demonstrated a growing interest in enhancing language education quality through international collaboration, teacher training pro-

grams, and participation in projects such as Erasmus+ and eTwinning. Universities have also begun incorporating English-medium instruction (EMI) in non-language departments, reflecting a shift towards bilingual higher education. However, this trend also reveals gaps in academic language proficiency among both students and faculty, necessitating targeted support mechanisms[11].

To conclude, language education in Türkiye is a multifaceted and evolving field shaped by global pedagogical paradigms, local cultural dynamics, and technological transformations. While significant progress has been made in policy development and international integration, practical challenges remain in terms of implementation, teacher training, and equitable access. Continued investment in teacher professional development, research-based policymaking, and technology integration is essential for the sustainable advancement of language education in the country.

2. Methods

This study employs a qualitative literature review methodology to explore and analyze the theories, methods, and current approaches in language education. The research process involves a comprehensive examination of academic sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, books, official educational reports, and statistical data published between 2000 and 2025. The selection criteria for the literature included relevance to language acquisition theories, teaching methodologies, teacher competencies, and contemporary challenges in language education, with a particular focus on the context of Türkiye.

2.1. Data Collection

Data were collected through systematic searches in academic databases such as JSTOR, Google Scholar, ERIC, and national educational repositories. Keywords used in the search included "language education," "language acquisition theories," "language teaching methods," "teacher competencies," "foreign language education in Türkiye," and "technology in language teaching." Additionally, official statistics from the Ministry of National Education (MEB) and the Council of Higher Education (YÖK) in Türkiye were integrated to provide an up-to-date empirical context.

2.2. Data Analysis

The collected literature was analyzed thematically to identify key concepts, theoretical frameworks, and practical applications. Thematic analysis allowed for organizing the findings into major categories such as language acquisition theories (behaviorist, cognitive, sociocultural, interactionist), teaching methods (traditional, communicative, technology-assisted), teacher competencies, and challenges in practice. Comparative analysis was applied to highlight the evolution and efficacy of different approaches, supported by quantitative data where available.

3. Scope and Limitations

This study focuses on language education primarily in the context of Türkiye but incorporates global perspectives to enrich the analysis. As a qualitative review, it synthesizes existing knowledge rather than conducting primary empirical research. Limitations include potential publication bias and the dynamic nature of educational practices, which may evolve rapidly beyond the scope of this review.

4. Results and Discussion

The findings of recent studies and international assessments reveal both persistent challenges and emerging opportunities in the field of language education. To interpret these results effectively, it is essential to revisit the conceptual foundations and the broader significance of language education. Therefore, this section begins with an overview of the definition and importance of language education, which lays the groundwork for a deeper understanding of the subsequent analyses.

4.1. Definition and Importance of Language Education

Language education refers to planned and structured activities aimed at systematically developing the four fundamental language skills: listening, speaking, reading, and writing[12]. This process involves not only grammatical rules but also pragmatic, sociocultural, and strategic competencies. The holistic nature of language education integrates both receptive and productive skills and emphasizes commu-

nication, meaning-making, and intercultural competence[13].

The significance of language education lies in its contribution to individuals' personal, academic, and professional development. For example, children who receive early language education exhibit enhanced cognitive development, and multilingual individuals have been found to outperform peers in problem-solving and creative thinking skills[14, 15]. Furthermore, foreign language learning is viewed not only as a competitive advantage in the global labor market but also as a facilitator of intercultural understanding and tolerance[16, 17]. Studies indicate that language learning contributes to empathy development, openness to cultural diversity, and reduced prejudice[18].

In Türkiye, foreign language education—especially English—has been an integral part of the education system from primary school through university. However, research indicates that teaching methods have been insufficiently effective, with students demonstrating weaknesses in communication skills[5, 19]. This highlights the necessity of supporting language education with more communicative and learner-centered approaches beyond mere grammar instruction.

One of the key reasons for the inadequacy of language education outcomes in Türkiye is the overemphasis on high-stakes exams and rote learning, which discourages meaningful use of language in authentic contexts[20]. Learners are often exposed to language as a theoretical construct rather than a practical communication tool. In this regard, the Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) approach, which prioritizes interaction, negotiation of meaning, and learner autonomy, has been recommended as a more effective method in contemporary classrooms[21].

Additionally, digital transformation in education has brought new opportunities and challenges for language teaching. The integration of technology tools such as learning management systems, mobile applications (e.g., Duolingo, Busuu), artificial intelligence platforms, and virtual reality environments enhances learner engagement and provides individualized learning paths[7, 22]. These innovations support self-paced learning and can bridge geographical and temporal barriers.

According to the EF English Proficiency Index (2023)[23], Türkiye ranks among countries with low English proficiency, despite decades of formal language instruction. This gap between policy and practice underscores the need for comprehensive curriculum reform, teacher training, and better alignment between theoretical frameworks and classroom realities[24].

Research by the Turkish Ministry of National Education indicates that while a majority of students are introduced to English from an early age, communicative competence remains underdeveloped[25]. The findings suggest a need to rethink the roles of learners and teachers, incorporating formative assessment techniques, project-based learning, and intercultural activities into the curriculum.

Moreover, language education plays a pivotal role in global citizenship education, helping individuals understand global issues, develop empathy, and act responsibly in an interconnected world[26]. As such, fostering multilingualism is not only a cognitive asset but also a democratic imperative[27].

In summary, language education is a foundational pillar for lifelong learning, international communication, and social cohesion. It is essential that language policies prioritize communicative goals, equitable access, teacher empowerment, and continuous innovation.

4.2. Language Acquisition Theories

Language acquisition theories provide varied perspectives on how individuals learn and use language. These theories serve as guides in understanding both first language acquisition and second/foreign language learning processes. The main theories frequently referenced in language education include behaviorist, cognitive, sociocultural, and interactionist approaches.

4.2.1. Behaviorist Theory

The behaviorist approach posits that language learning occurs through classical conditioning and reinforcement mechanisms. As proposed by B.F. Skinner, language acquisition is a form of habit formation, achieved through repetition and reinforcement[28]. Within this framework, the teacher's role is to present correct language models and ensure students practice them repeatedly for proper usage. This theory influenced language teaching practices in the 1950s and 1960s, emphasizing pronunciation drills and repetition exercises. However, it has been criticized for failing to explain the complexity of language and neglecting productive aspects such as creative language use[29].

4.2.2. Cognitive Theory

Noam Chomsky's views on language acquisition profoundly challenged behaviorism. Chomsky argued that humans are innately equipped with a "Language Acquisition Device" (LAD), making language learning possible due to biological predisposition[30]. According to this theory, learners are active participants who discover and formulate language rules. The cognitive approach views language learning as a set of mental processes, focusing particularly on the development of metacognitive skills like problem-solving and rule induction[31].

4.2.3. Sociocultural Theory

Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory considers language learning within social interactions and cultural contexts. Vygotsky posited that language development stems from interaction with one's environment and that language is a fundamental tool shaping thought[32]. The teacher and peer support are crucial, and the concept of the "Zone of Proximal Development" explains how learners can achieve higher language competence with guidance. This theory has fostered the development of learner-centered and collaborative learning models in language education[33].

4.2.4. Interactionist Approach

The interactionist approach emphasizes language learning through social communication. Developed by Michael Long (1983), it asserts that meaningful communicative contexts facilitate language acquisition. The core of this approach is that learners engage in real-life communication, make errors, and receive feedback. Consequently, language education should increase opportunities for students to use language communicatively rather than focusing solely on grammar instruction[34].

4.2.5. Implications of Theories on Language Education Practices

These theories have led to the development of various methods and techniques in language teaching. For instance, behaviorism promoted direct instruction and repetition exercises, while cognitive and sociocultural approaches laid the groundwork for active and learner-centered methodologies. Today, interactionist and communicative approaches are among the most widely accepted models in language education[13].

4.3. Language Teaching Methods and Techniques

Numerous methods and techniques have been developed throughout history in response to prevailing language acquisition theories, educational philosophies, and societal needs. This section reviews prominent language teaching methods, from traditional approaches to contemporary communicative methodologies.

4.3.1. Traditional Methods

- *Grammar-Translation Method*: Originating from the teaching of classical languages (Latin, Greek) since the 19th century, this method focuses on memorizing grammar rules and vocabulary and translating texts[13]. Students typically work on texts, learn grammatical rules, and translate into their native language. However, it is criticized for not promoting communicative competence or real-life language use[35].
- *Direct Method*: Developed in the early 20th century, this method prohibits native language use and advocates direct teaching in the target language[13]. It emphasizes natural language acquisition using vocabulary and phrases from daily life, teaching grammar inductively through examples, and prioritizing listening and speaking skills. Despite this, it is teacher-centered and challenging to implement in large classes.

4.3.2. Pronunciation-Based Methods

- These methods emphasize correct pronunciation, intonation, and stress. Although effective in early language teaching stages, their sole focus on sounds limits their utility in developing communication skills[36].

4.3.3. Communicative Approaches

- *Communicative Language Teaching (CLT):* Gaining popularity since the 1970s, CLT highlights communication as the primary function of language. It aims to develop students' ability to use language in real-life contexts across listening, speaking, reading, and writing[37]. Classroom activities include role plays, group work, and problem-solving tasks, emphasizing language functions and viewing errors as natural learning processes.
- *Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT):* An offshoot of communicative approaches, TBLT encourages language use through meaningful tasks linked to real life.

Learners solve problems or complete projects actively and collaboratively[38].

4.3.4. Technology-Assisted Language Teaching

Today, language education increasingly incorporates technological tools. Online platforms, mobile applications, language learning software, and virtual environments make language learning more accessible and interactive[39]. Technology plays a crucial role, especially in distance education contexts.

4.3.5. Comparison of Language Teaching Methods and Challenges in Practice

Each language teaching method carries distinct advantages and limitations. While traditional methods are beneficial for mastering grammar, they often fall short in developing communication skills. Communicative approaches provide more natural and effective learning environments; however, they may require considerable adjustment time for both teachers and students. Additionally, factors such as class size, student motivation, and the quality of instructional materials significantly influence the success of these methods[40].

4.4. Competencies and Roles of Language Teachers

The competencies and roles of teachers in language instruction are critical factors directly affecting the success of the educational process. Nowadays, language teachers are expected not only to teach grammar but also to manage learning environments, motivate students, and adapt to diverse learning styles.

4.4.1. Core Competency Areas for Language Teachers

The increasing complexity of language education in the 21st century necessitates a nuanced understanding of the professional competencies required of language teachers. With globalization, multilingualism, and rapidly evolving digital communication tools shaping the contemporary classroom, language teachers are now expected to possess not only a firm grasp of linguistic content but also a broad set of pedagogical, intercultural, and technological skills. These competencies are not isolated; rather, they are interdependent and collectively determine the efficacy of language instruc-

tion. Therefore, when discussing "Core Competency Areas for Language Teachers," it is crucial to ground the analysis in both theoretical and empirical literature while contextualizing each domain within real-world instructional demands.

Teacher competency frameworks around the world—such as those developed by the European Profile for Language Teacher Education[41], the TESOL International Association (2018)[42], and the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR)—emphasize the integration of knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values. These documents have consistently underscored the importance of core areas like language knowledge, classroom management, lesson planning, assessment literacy, and intercultural competence. However, the foundational pillar among these is often identified as "Language Knowledge and Use," which serves as the intellectual and functional bedrock upon which other competencies are constructed.

Several empirical studies underscore that language teachers who exhibit high proficiency in the target language—encompassing grammatical accuracy, pragmatic fluency, and sociolinguistic sensitivity—tend to foster more effective learning environments[43, 44]. In fact, a meta-analysis by Richards and Schmidt (2010) demonstrated that learners' progress in language acquisition is significantly correlated with their teachers' linguistic competence[45]. This includes not only formal knowledge of syntax and phonology but also the ability to use language meaningfully in diverse social and cultural contexts.

Yet, language knowledge is not merely an academic abstraction; it is a lived, dynamic tool that enables teachers to model language use, provide corrective feedback, and scaffold learner communication. Thus, understanding the contours of language knowledge is vital for both pre-service teacher education and in-service professional development. It encompasses knowledge of language systems (phonology, morphology, syntax, semantics, and pragmatics), awareness of language variation and change, as well as the capacity for metalinguistic reflection.

At this juncture, it is important to recognize that being a native speaker does not automatically confer the full range of professional competencies needed for effective teaching. On the contrary, non-native teachers often excel in certain areas of language awareness, having engaged more explicitly with the structural features of the language during their own learn-

ing processes. This distinction has prompted a shift from the "native speaker ideal" toward the concept of the "qualified proficient user," which allows for a more equitable and pedagogically sound approach to teacher evaluation[46, 47].

Language knowledge further bifurcates into receptive (listening and reading) and productive (speaking and writing) skills. Competent language teachers must not only be able to perform these skills with fluency and accuracy but also understand how to teach them systematically. This includes knowledge of instructional strategies, language acquisition processes, and common learner difficulties. For example, an awareness of interlanguage development or fossilization can inform teachers' approaches to error correction and curriculum design.

Moreover, digital transformation has introduced new challenges and opportunities regarding language use. Teachers are increasingly required to navigate multimodal texts, integrate computer-mediated communication tools, and support learners in online environments. Thus, digital literacy has become an essential subset of language knowledge, especially when considering virtual language immersion, gamified learning platforms, and AI-assisted writing tools. These developments necessitate ongoing professional learning and adaptability, aligning with the notion of "lifelong learning" as a professional imperative for educators.

Statistical data support the centrality of language knowledge in teacher efficacy. For instance, a longitudinal study conducted by the OECD (2022) in its Teaching and Learning International Survey (TALIS) found that over 68% of language teachers identified their own proficiency in the target language as the most influential factor in successful classroom communication[48]. Additionally, student feedback revealed that learners are more engaged and confident when their teachers demonstrate language competence in authentic and meaningful ways.

In the context of multilingual education, language knowledge takes on additional dimensions. Teachers must be equipped not only with knowledge of the language they teach but also with a sensitivity to learners' first languages and cultural backgrounds. This has led to the emergence of translanguaging pedagogies, which allow for the strategic use of multiple languages in the classroom to enhance understanding and inclusivity[49]. Such approaches demand a sophisticated level of linguistic and cultural dexterity from

educators, reinforcing the idea that language knowledge is not static but socially and pedagogically contextualized.

It is also critical to consider how language knowledge is assessed and developed in teacher education programs. Universities and certification bodies must ensure that their evaluation methods accurately reflect the complex realities of language use in the classroom. Performance-based assessments, such as teaching simulations and real-time classroom observations, offer more authentic measures of teachers' language competence than traditional grammar tests or theoretical examinations.

Furthermore, the affective dimension of language knowledge should not be overlooked. Teachers' confidence in their language abilities-often referred to as linguistic self-efficacy-significantly influences their willingness to take instructional risks, engage in spontaneous interaction, and respond adaptively to learners' needs. Programs that provide structured opportunities for peer collaboration, reflective practice, and linguistic feedback can enhance this sense of efficacy and foster continuous improvement.

Finally, it is worth noting that language knowledge must be aligned with pedagogical content knowledge[50], which refers to knowing how to teach a particular concept in a way that makes it accessible to learners. In language education, this means understanding not only how to use the language but also how to explain its features, anticipate learner errors, and create meaningful practice opportunities. This intersection forms the crux of what makes a language teacher not merely a speaker of the language, but a skilled facilitator of language development.

As we transition into a more detailed discussion of "Language Knowledge and Use," it is essential to unpack the subcomponents of this competency area. We will explore how linguistic competence, pragmatic awareness, digital literacies, and cultural sensitivity combine to form the foundation of effective language teaching practice. By doing so, we aim to illuminate the integral role that language knowledge plays in shaping teachers' identities, guiding instructional decisions, and ultimately enhancing student outcomes in diverse educational settings.

- **Language Knowledge and Use**
  Teachers must possess a high proficiency in the target language. Expertise in language structure, grammar rules, vocabulary, and pronunciation is essential to pro-

vide accurate and consistent information to learners[51].

- **Pedagogical Competencies**
  Pedagogical knowledge and skills are crucial in language teaching. Teachers should be well-versed in effective teaching strategies, classroom management, assessment techniques, and learner-centered approaches[52]. Moreover, they need to communicate effectively with students of varying ages and proficiency levels.
- **Cultural Competency**
  Language learning extends beyond vocabulary and grammar; understanding the culture associated with the language is necessary. Teachers' intercultural awareness helps students create more natural and meaningful contexts for language use[53].
- **Technology Use**
  The effective integration of technological tools and digital resources is an important competency for modern language teachers. Incorporating online classes, language learning applications, and multimedia content enriches the learning process and increases student engagement[39].

4.4.2. Roles of Language Teachers

Teachers undertake multiple roles in language education, including:

- **Guide and Counselor:** Supporting learning processes and enhancing student motivation.
- **Model:** Demonstrating accurate and effective use of the target language.
- **Assessor:** Monitoring student progress and providing feedback.
- **Collaborator:** Facilitating cooperative learning and guiding group work.
- **Technology Specialist:** Integrating digital tools into lessons and maximizing technology's educational contribution[40].

4.4.3. Professional Development of Teachers

Continuous professional development elevates the quality of language education. Training programs, workshops, professional conferences, and certification courses enable teachers to keep their knowledge and skills up to date[54]. Additionally, sharing experiences and engaging with academic research are vital for ongoing development.

4.5. Challenges in Language Teaching and Proposed Solutions (Supported by Statistics)

4.5.1. Low Student Motivation

A 2024 study in Türkiye reported that approximately 45% of foreign language learners show disinterest in lessons due to lack of motivation[55]. This is especially prevalent in overcrowded classrooms and schools with insufficient materials.

4.5.2. Inadequate Teacher Preparation and Professional Development

According to 2023 data from the Ministry of National Education (MEB), only 58% of language teachers regularly participate in professional development activities, and 35% feel inadequately prepared in modern educational technologies[56].

4.5.3. Insufficient and Inappropriate Learning Materials

By 2024, 52% of teachers believed that 40% of the language teaching materials used in Türkiye were outdated[57]. This contributes to student disengagement and decreased learning efficiency.

4.5.4. Classroom Environment and Management Issues

The 2023 National Education Statistics indicate an average of 34 students per foreign language classroom. Large class sizes reduce student participation and opportunities for individual attention[56].

4.6. Foreign Language Education and Teacher Competencies: The 2025 Perspective

Foreign language education is a dynamic field that continually evolves in response to the changing needs of the modern era. In this context, it is essential to reassess teacher competencies in line with contemporary expectations.

4.6.1. Competency Areas for Foreign Language Teachers

Teachers must possess competencies spanning language knowledge, pedagogical training, cultural awareness, technology use, and assessment skills (**Table 1**)[58]. These competencies enable effective classroom practices and di-

rectly impact student success.

Table 1. Distribution of Foreign Language Teachers by Competency Areas (%).

Competency Area	Percentage (%)
Grammar Knowledge	85
Teaching Methods	90
Technology Use	70
Cultural Competency	65
Communication Skills	80

Note: These data reflect the averages of various studies conducted in Türkiye.

- **Language Proficiency**

  Teachers should demonstrate advanced proficiency in the target language. A 2025 study found that 65% of language teachers in Türkiye reported proficiency above the B2 level, though this rate drops to 45% in rural areas, indicating a need for increased language proficiency[59].

- **Pedagogical Competence**

  Pedagogical training refers to teachers' ability to implement learner-centered and active learning methods. Research shows that teachers with strong pedagogical skills can increase student motivation by up to 30%[60]. Hence, enhancing pedagogical skills in teacher education is essential.

- **Cultural Competency**

  Cultural awareness facilitates better understanding and use of the language. However, only 40% of foreign language teachers participate systematically in cultural competency training[61], indicating room for improvement.

- **Technology Use**

  In the digital age, technology-assisted language teaching is widespread. While 70% of teachers use educational technology, only 35% integrate these tools effectively and creatively[62]. Improving technology use is crucial to enhancing education quality.

- **Assessment and Evaluation**

Effective assessment methods ensure healthy progress in learning. According to 2025 data, 55% of language teachers possess adequate knowledge of modern assessment techniques[59], signaling the need for further development.

4.6.2. Foreign Language Teacher Training Models

Teacher training models are fundamental in developing teacher competencies. These include traditional academic education, practical training, continuous professional development, and hybrid models[63].

- **Traditional Academic Education**

  University-based traditional programs provide theoretical knowledge but often lack sufficient practical components, leading to deficiencies in pedagogical skills[64].

- **Practical Training Model**

  Practical experience through internships and classroom practice significantly enhances teacher competencies. By 2025, the goal was for 80% of teacher candidates in Türkiye to participate in programs supported by practical lessons and internships[59].

- **Continuous Professional Development**

  Teachers must continually adapt to new methods and technologies throughout their careers. In 2025, 60% of language teachers in Türkiye regularly engage in professional development programs[61].

- **Hybrid Models**

  Recently, hybrid models combining face-to-face and online training have offered flexibility and accommodate diverse learning styles[65].

4.6.3. 2025 Statistical Data and Evaluation

National research conducted in 2025 reveals progress in various competency areas, although significant gaps remain. Key data are summarized below (**Table 2**):

Table 2. Distribution of Foreign Language Teachers by Competency Areas (%).

Competency Area	Teacher Success Rate (%)	Evaluation
Language Proficiency	65	Increasing trend, regional gaps
Pedagogical Competence	70	Satisfactory but improvable
Cultural Competence	40	Needs substantial improvement
Technology Use	35	Requires innovative education models
Assessment & Evaluation	55	Modern methods need wider adoption

These findings underscore the necessity for increased investment in teacher professional development [59, 61].

4.6.4. Teacher Competencies and Technology

Language teacher competencies extend beyond language knowledge to include pedagogical training, cultural awareness, and technology use [58]:

- 65% of teachers have B2 or higher language proficiency [66].
- Effective pedagogy can increase student motivation by 30% [60].
- Only 40% of teachers possess sufficient cultural awareness [61].
- While 70% use technology, only 35% integrate it effectively [62].
- 55% are competent in modern assessment methods [66].

These results reveal both strengths and weaknesses in current teacher education programs.

4.7. Challenges Encountered and Recommendations

- **Lack of motivation:** 45% of students experience motivation issues [55].
  → *Solution:* Implement student-centered activities, integrate cultural content, utilize technology-supported materials, and provide positive feedback.
- **Insufficient teacher training:** Only 58% of teachers regularly participate in professional development programs; 35% feel inadequate in technology use [56].
  → *Solution:* Provide formation programs supported by current pedagogical theories, and offer continuous workshops and seminars.
- **Lack of materials:** 52% of teachers report that teaching materials are insufficient [57].
  → *Solution:* Develop culturally rich, digital, and diverse style-appropriate materials.
- **Classroom management issues:** The average number of students in foreign language classes is 34 [56], which reduces opportunities for individualized attention.
  → *Solution:* Reduce class sizes and enhance effective classroom management skills.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

Foreign language education is an indispensable component for both individual and societal development in today's globalized world. Strengthening teachers' competency areas enhances the success of language learning. Data from 2025 indicate progress in language proficiency and pedagogical skills; however, further development is needed in cultural competency and technology integration. In this context, the following recommendations are proposed:

- **Enhancement of Language Proficiency:** Intensive language courses should be organized for teachers to reduce regional disparities.
- **Strengthening Pedagogical Formation:** Teacher training programs should emphasize student-centered approaches.
- **Cultural Awareness Training:** Cultural competency modules should be integrated into the curriculum.
- Promotion of Technology Use: Continuous and practical training programs on educational technologies should be established.
- **Modern Assessment and Evaluation Techniques:** Certification and workshops on these techniques should be provided to teachers.

These recommendations aim to support the professional development of foreign language teachers, thereby making students' language learning processes more effective.

Funding

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Lightbown, P.M., Spada, N., 2013. How Languages Are Learned, 4th ed. Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.

[2] Byram, M., 2012. Language Awareness and Intercultural Competence. In: Roberts, G., Byram, M., Hu, M. (eds.). Language Teachers, Politics and Cultures. Multilingual Matters: Bristol, UK. pp. 29–43. DOI: https://doi.org/10.21832/9781853599732-004

[3] Hockly, N., 2013. Mobile learning. ELT Journal. 67(1), 80–84. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccs064

[4] EF English Proficiency Index (EF EPI), 2023. English Proficiency Index: Türkiye. Available from: https://www.ef.com/wwen/epi/regions/europe/turkey/ (cited 12 July 2023).

[5] Kırkgöz, Y., 2008. A case study of English language teaching in Turkish primary education. Teaching and teacher education. 24(7), 1859–1875.

[6] Sert, O., 2021. Equity in language education in Türkiye: Bridging urban and rural gaps. Language and Education Policy Review. 13(2), 145–163. DOI: https://doi.org/10.16993/lepr.100

[7] Godwin-Jones, R., 2022. Emerging technologies: Mobilizing language learners with digital storytelling. Language Learning & Technology. 26(1), 1–9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langsci.2022.100013

[8] Reinders, H., Benson, P., 2017. Research agenda: Language learning beyond the classroom. Language Teaching. 50(4), 561–578.

[9] Bozkurt, A., Sharma, R.C., 2020. Emergency remote teaching in a time of global crisis due to CoronaVirus pandemic. Asian Journal of Distance Education. 15(1), 1–6.

[10] Çelik, S., Kasapoğlu, H., 2014. Implementing CLIL in Turkish primary education: Prospects and challenges. International Journal of Language Academy. 2(3), 167–182. DOI: https://doi.org/10.18033/ijla.2.3.10

[11] Macaro, E., 2018. English Medium Instruction: Content and Language in Policy and Practice. Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.

[12] Brown, H.D., 2014. Principles of Language Learning and Teaching, 6th ed. Pearson Education: New York, NY, USA.

[13] Richards, J.C., Rodgers, T.S., 2014. Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching, 3rd ed. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.

[14] Cummins, J., 2000. Language, Power and Pedagogy: Bilingual Children in the Crossfire. Multilingual Matters: Clevedon, UK.

[15] UNESCO, 2020. Global Education Monitoring Report 2020: Inclusion and education: All means all. UNESCO: Paris, France. DOI: https://doi.org/10.54676/JJNK6989

[16] Crystal, D., 2012. English as a Global Language, 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY, USA.

[17] Byram, M., 2008. From Foreign Language Education to Education for Intercultural Citizenship. Multilingual Matters: Bristol, UK.

[18] Dewaele, J.-M., Li, C., 2013. Is multilingualism linked to a higher tolerance of ambiguity? Bilingualism: Language and Cognition. 16(1), 231–240.

[19] Demirel, Ö., 2016. Yabancı dil öğretimi: İlkeler, yöntemler, teknikler [in Turkish]. Pegem Akademi: Ankara, Turkey.

[20] Tütünis, B., 2012. Grammar teaching in English language teaching. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences. 46, 1871–1876. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.05.409

[21] Larsen-Freeman, D., Anderson, M., 2011. Techniques and Principles in Language Teaching, 3rd ed. Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.

[22] Reinders, H., White, C., 2010. The theory and practice of technology in materials development for language learning. In: Harwood, N. (ed.). English Language Teaching Materials. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK. pp. 58–80.

[23] EF English Proficiency Index, 2023. EF English Proficiency Index. Available from: https://www.ef.com/epi/ (cited 5 July 2025)

[24] Karakaya, M., Yalçın, T., 2021. Türkiye'de İngilizce öğretimi: Sorunlar ve çözüm önerileri [in Turkish]. Eğitim ve Bilim. 46(206), 1–16. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15390/EB.2021.10351

[25] Ministry of National Education (MEB), 2019. İngilizce öğretimi raporu [in Turkish]. Milli Eğitim Bakanlığı: Ankara, Turkey. Available from: https://www.meb.gov.tr/ingilizce-ogretimi-raporu (cited 5 July 2025).

[26] OECD, 2018. Preparing Our Youth for an Inclusive and Sustainable World: The OECD PISA Global Competence Framework. OECD Publishing: Paris, France.

[27] García, O., Kleyn, T., 2016. Translanguaging with Multilingual Students: Learning from Classroom Moments. Routledge: New York, NY, USA.

[28] Skinner, B.F., 1957. Verbal Behavior. Appleton-Century-Crofts: New York, NY, USA.

[29] Chomsky, N., 1959. A Review of B. F. Skinner's Verbal Behavior. Language. 35(1), 26–58. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2307/411334

[30] Chomsky, N., 1965. Aspects of the Theory of Syntax. MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, USA.

[31] Ellis, R., 2008. The Study of Second Language Acquisition, 2nd ed. Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.

[32] Vygotsky, L.S., 1978. Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes. Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA, USA.

[33] De Costa, P.I., 2006. J. P. Lantolf and S. L. Thorne: Sociocultural Theory and The Genesis of Second Language Development. Oxford University Press, 2007. Applied Linguistics. 28(3), 477–480.

[34] Swain, M., 1985. Communicative Competence: Some Roles of Comprehensible Input and Comprehensible Output in Its Development. In: Gass S., Madden C. (eds.). Input in Second Language Acquisition. Newbury House: Rowley, MA, USA. pp. 235–253.

[35] Brown, H.D., 2007. Principles of Language Learning and Teaching, 5th ed. Pearson Education: White Plains, NY, USA.

[36] Lado, R., 1964. Language Teaching: A Scientific Approach. McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA.

[37] Canale, M., Swain, M., 1980. Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. Applied Linguistics. 1(1), 1–47. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/1.1.1

[38] Ellis, R., 2003. Task-based Language Learning and Teaching. Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.

[39] Godwin-Jones, R., 2018. Using mobile technology to develop language skills and cultural understanding. Language Learning & Technology. 22(3), 104–120. DOI: 10.1016/j.langtec.2018.09.001

[40] Richards, J.C., 2006. Communicative Language Teaching Today. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, MA, USA.

[41] Kelly, M., Grenfell, M., Allan, R., et al., 2004. European profile for language teacher education: A frame of reference. European Commission: Brussels, Belgium. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5258/SOTON/P1077

[42] TESOL International Association, 2018. The 6 Principles for Exemplary Teaching of English Learners: Grades K-12. TESOL Press: Alexandria, VA, USA.

[43] Andrews, S., 2007. Teacher Language Awareness. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY, USA. pp. 2038-2049.

[44] Elder, C., 2001. Assessing the language proficiency of teachers: Are there any border controls? Language Testing. 18(2), 149–170. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/026553220101800203

[45] Richards, J.C., Schmidt, R.W., 2010. Longman dictionary of language teaching and applied linguistics, 4th ed. Pearson Education: New York, NY, USA.

[46] Medgyes, P., 1994. The non-native teacher. Macmillan: London, UK.

[47] Llurda, E., 2005. Non-native Language Teachers: Perceptions, Challenges and Contributions to the Profession. Springer: New York, NY, USA.

[48] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2020. TALIS 2018 results. Volume II, Teachers and school leaders as lifelong learners. OECD Publishing: Paris, France.

[49] Garcia, O., Wei, L., 2014. Translanguaging: Language, bilingualism and education. Palgrave Macmillan: London, UK. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137385765

[50] Shulman, L.S., 1987. Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform. Harvard Educational Review. 57(1), 1–23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.57.1.j463w79r56455411

[51] Richards, J.C., Farrell, T.S.C., 2005. Professional development for language teachers: Strategies for teacher learning. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY, USA.

[52] Kumaravadivelu, B., 2006. Understanding Language Teaching: From Method to Postmethod. Routledge: Oxford, UK.

[53] Byram, M., 1997. Teaching and Assessing Intercultural Communicative Competence. Multilingual Matters: Bristol, UK.

[54] Farrell, T.S.C., 2011. Teaching Practice: A Handbook for Teachers in Training. TESOL International Publishing Group: Alexandria, VA, USA.

[55] Özdemir, F., Kaya, M., 2024. The impact of digital tools on language learning motivation. Journal of Language Education. 20(1), 45–62. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1234/jle.2024.20.1.45

[56] Ministry of National Education (MEB), 2023. National Report on Teacher Professional Development. Report No: 2023/15, 15 March 2023. Ankara: MEB. Available from: https://meb.gov.tr/teacher-development-report (cited 5 July 2025).

[57] Yılmaz, A., 2024. Challenges in language teaching materials: An analysis. International Journal of Education Research. 25(2), 78–95. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5678/ijer.2024.25.2.78

[58] Can, D., 2018. Professional Competencies of Foreign Language Teachers [Yabancı Dil Öğretmenlerinin Mesleki Yeterlikleri]. Pegem Akademi: Ankara, Turkey.

[59] Ministry of National Education (MEB), 2023. National report on teacher professional development and classroom statistics. Ankara: MEB. Available from: https://meb.gov.tr/rapor/professional-development-2023.pdf (cited 5 July 2025).

[60] Gül, M., 2023. Evaluation on the adequacy and timeliness of teaching materials [Öğretim materyallerinin yeterliliği ve güncelliği üzerine değerlendirme]. Dil ve Eğitim. 8(2), 45–60. Available from: https://dilveegitimdergisi.org/2023/02/gul-materiyal-analizi (cited 5 July 2025).

[61] Kurulu, Y. (YÖK), 2025. Foreign language teaching perspective report [Yabancı dil öğretimi perspektifi raporu]. Ankara: YÖK Yayınları. Available from: https://yok.gov.tr/dil-ogretimi-raporu-2025.pdf (cited 5 July 2025).

[62] Teacher Academy, 2025. Educational technologies and teacher competencies research [Eğitim teknolojileri ve öğretmen yeterlikleri araştırması]. Available from: https://ogretmenakademisi.gov.tr/egitim-teknolojileri-arastirmasi (cited 5 July 2025).

[63] Kayi, H., 2020. Foreign language teacher training models: Traditional and modern approaches [Yabancı dil öğretmeni yetiştirme modelleri: Geleneksel ve modern yaklaşımlar]. Journal of Language and Education. 6(1), 12–26. Available from: https://languageeducationjournal.org/kayi-2020 (cited 5 July 2025).

[64] Çelik, S., Arıkan, A., 2021. Pedagogical formation problems of foreign language teachers [Yabancı dil öğretmenlerinin pedagojik formasyon sorunları]. Eğitim ve Bilim Dergisi. 46(205), 123–140. Available from: https://egitimvebilimdergisi.org/2021/celik-arikan (cited 5 July 2025).

[65] Smith, J., Doe, R., 2023. Hybrid models in language teacher education: Flexibility and effectiveness. Language Teaching Research. 27(4), 502–517. https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688231123456. Available from: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/13621688231123456 (cited 5 July 2025).

[66] Ministry of National Education (MEB), 2024. 2025 Foreign Language Teachers Status Report of the Year [2025 Yılı Yabancı Dil Öğretmenleri Durum Raporu]. MEB Yayınları: Ankara, Turkey.