

ARTICLE

Communicative Leadership in a Time of Transformation: Discourse Practices of Academic Leaders in Higher Education

Aisha Yousef Aljendan 

Department of Educational Leadership College of Education, King Faisal University, Al Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

This study explores the crucial role of leadership communication, language, and discourse in facilitating organisational change within higher education institutions across diverse institutional contexts. By analysing scholarly research, the study identifies key patterns and strategies that impact change outcomes in academic settings. It reveals that communication transcends being a mere tool for disseminating information; rather, it is a core leadership competency that shapes the construction of organisational reality. The concept of communicative leadership is particularly relevant to higher education, highlighting the importance of dialogue, consultation, and collaborative meaning-making among diverse stakeholders, including faculty, staff, and students. Using a thematic synthesis methodology that combines meta-ethnography and grounded theory approaches, the research proposes a comprehensive Communicative Change Leadership Framework (CCLF) comprising five interconnected components: Contextual Assessment and Stakeholder Analysis, Strategic Communication Planning, Discourse and Language Strategy, Multi-Channel Engagement Implementation, and Continuous Feedback and Adaptation. Key findings underscore the significance of developing stakeholder-specific communication strategies, the effectiveness of inclusive language and metaphorical frameworks, and the benefits of viewing resistance as a resource for improvement rather than an obstacle. This study contributes to both theoretical understanding and practical application by offering evidence-based recommendations for higher education leaders navigating complex organisational transformations in competitive academic environments.

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Aisha Yousef Aljendan, Department of Educational Leadership College of Education, King Faisal University, Al Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia;
Email: aaljendan@kfu.edu.sa

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 27 June 2025 | Revised: 7 July 2025 | Accepted: 24 July 2025 | Published Online: 19 September 2025
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v7i9.10768>

CITATION

Aljendan, A.Y., 2025. Communicative Leadership in a Time of Transformation: Discourse Practices of Academic Leaders in Higher Education. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*. 7(9): 1203–1216. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v7i9.10768>

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2025 by the author(s). Published by Bilingual Publishing Group. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

Keywords: Leadership Communication; Organisational Change; Higher Education; Discourse Analysis; Change Management; Stakeholder Engagement

1. Introduction

Organisational change is a constant and unavoidable phenomenon in an evolving organisation, particularly within the complex and diverse realm of academia. To remain fit for purpose, universities must reform and transform in response to new societal needs, technological innovation, economic constraints, and changes in our understanding of learning and its attendant processes^[1–3]. These transformations are necessary for growth and viability, yet they often elicit resistance from faculty and staff, thereby creating obstacles that leaders must overcome. Accordingly, the effectiveness of leadership during these turbulent times will play a key role in the success of an organisational change initiative in a higher education institution (HEIs).

An often-overlooked, yet essential, feature in guiding the change-navigation process is the tactical deployment of communication and language.

This article explores the complex interplay between leadership, communication, and the use of language and discourse during HEIs' organisational change. It aims to find out how academic leaders can use effective communication strategies and subtle linguistic tactics to lead change, reduce resistance, and promote an open working environment among employees. Drawing upon a comprehensive literature review, insights from different academic papers are brought together to deduce the influence of communicative competence on change management. The ultimate objective is to propose a durable system or list of interventions that higher education leadership can adopt to improve their leadership and supervisory (LS) practices and view of language during transformative times.

Historically, models of organisational change have focused on changes in structures, procedures, and technology. But the human factor has come to the fore recently in research showing how vital it is for a change to be successful. Resistance to change, so often seen as a failure, is frequently a form of human response to unsettling information or to the destabilising of existing norms and identities^[2,4]. Consequently, there is a difference between leading in directive

ways versus leading in more facilitative, empathetic, and persuasive manners. This requires a deep appreciation of how communication, both as a process and as an instrument, can mould perceptions, create confidence, and generate a collective understanding of the change vision^[5].

In the context of the peculiarities of higher educational establishments, the issues are further complicated by an explicitly collegial culture, shared governance structures, and a diverse workforce comprising academics, administrators, and support staff, each possessing distinct professional identities and interests. The diction that leaders use in this setting will, therefore, be well-tuned to speak to the ears of these various stakeholders: to acknowledge their contributions, explain why change is necessary, and invite them to articulate how they will be part of it. This article argues that consciously strategic language in both wording and delivery is crucially shaped employee attitudes and behaviour during change^[6,7].

This literature-based review is organised to first set the theoretical bases for organisational change, leadership, and communication within the higher education context. It then considers the concrete impacts that language and discourse have on employees' perceptions and responses to change. Building on the integrated findings, the paper proposes a framework designed to assist higher education management in their communicative processes during major institutional change. The ultimate purpose of this analysis is to add to the literature on effective change leadership by positioning communication and language as core strategic capabilities.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Organisational Change in Higher Education: Context and Challenges

HEIs are intrinsically complex organisations, and few HEIs have centralised hierarchies of decision-making; the academic culture in many HEIs is based on a strong respect for tradition and autonomy. Unlike corporations, change in HEIs is generally not imposed from the top down and

instead progresses through consultation and consensus building among academic, professional, and administrative staff within a framework of shared governance. Such complexity makes organisational change in HEIs an especially difficult process to undertake^[1,8].

There are many drivers for change in higher education, including global economic shifts, technological advances, shifting student demographics, and demands for greater accountability and transparency from governments and the public^[2]. These external pressures require continuous innovation, but internal structures and culture within HEIs can be barriers to rapid change.

HEIs' reluctance to change is not a passive phenomenon but an active one, underpinned by several factors. Academic and professional staff may see change as a threat to their academic freedom, their professional autonomy, or their existing practices. Fears about losing their job or having to do more work because of the changes, or a lack of explanation for the changes, also contribute to resistance^[2]. Additionally, the collegial culture of universities means decisions are reached through dialogue and collegial consultation processes that, though inclusive, can slow things down. When it comes to managing changes, a subtle understanding of these dynamics is needed, and it is not enough simply to tweak structures; the human and cultural aspects of change must be attended to as well.

2.2. The Role of Leadership in Navigating Change

Leadership is essential in conducting a successful organisational change in HEIs. Leaders are not just doers of policy but are critical in framing the story about change, creating a consensus and commitment across multiple constituencies. How well they can maintain communication with relevant stakeholders, paint a compelling vision for the future, sell the need for change, and handle the emotional reactions of employees is what will matter most^[9].

However, the efficacy of leadership in HEIs during change is frequently shaped by the styles of leadership that are taken up and how authentic these are perceived to be. True top-down leadership models are less appropriate in institutions of higher learning, and when faculty perceive that administrators and leaders do not understand the culture of the institution and their needs, they are less motivated, and at

times, not at all motivated. Rather, the transformational and communicative styles of leadership tend to be most effective in creating buy-in and limiting resistance. Transformational leadership, the concept of leading by arousing and inspiring followers, intellectually stimulating them, and paying personalised attention, has been hailed for its ability to invoke change^[7].

Within HEIs, transformational leaders can create a future that aligns with the academic mission and vision, and through it, gain the support of faculty and staff. They are empowering, they support employees and innovation, and they provide a sense of joint responsibility and ownership over the change. However, research also shows how even transformational leadership has paradoxical effects, as witnessed by a found inverse correlation to organisational change management in some cases. Even though the evidence can be only on a simplistic level, it is challenged by the need for a context-specific application and interpretation of leadership behaviours^[10]. This highlights the intricacy of leadership within diverse organisational contexts and the need for leaders to consider their leadership style according to their specific cultural and organisational context within HEIs.

2.3. Communication as a Strategic Imperative in Change Management

Communication not only acts as a means of distributing information during organisational change; it is a strategic instrument that shapes perceptions, builds trust, and influences employee behaviour. With proper communication, the intent of change can be explained; doubts can be eliminated, and a forum for discussion and response can be created, which enhances acceptance and conveys a feeling of participation^[4]. Conversely, ineffective communication may cause misinformation, mistrust, and increased anxiety, which increases resistance and derails change initiatives. A comprehensive and coherent communication strategy is also required in HEIs where information circulates through multiple formal and informal channels.

Successful communication during organisational change hinges on transparency, consistency, and two-way communication. Transparency involves disclosing the causes of change, the expected benefits, and the potential effects on employees. This demystifies the change process, reduc-

ing uncertainty and fear^[4]. Consistency across all channels is important to avoid confusion and build credibility. Two-way communication, entailing active listening and seeking feedback, enables leaders to understand and respond to employee concerns, thus fostering psychological ownership of the change process^[5]. Such involvement can transform resistance into a valuable source of feedback and innovation. The challenge is to tailor communication strategies to the specific culture of HEIs, which comprises diverse academics and administration with varying communication and engagement needs.

2.4. Language and Discourse: Shaping Perceptions and Driving Change

The key ingredients of successful communication during organisational change are transparency, consistency, and two-way communication. Transparency involves disclosing information regarding the causes of change, the expected benefits, and the potential effects on employees. This demystifies the change process, lowering uncertainty and fear^[4]. Consistency across all channels is essential to avoid confusion and build credibility. Two-way communication, entailing active listening and seeking feedback, enables leaders to understand and respond to employee concerns, thus fostering psychological ownership of the change process^[5]. Such involvement can transform resistance into a valuable source of feedback and innovation. The challenge is to tailor communication strategies to the specific culture of HEIs, which encompasses diverse academic and administrative groups, each with distinct communication and engagement needs.

The methodological approach behind discourse analysis is valuable for elucidating the way language creates social realities and power relations. Researchers can identify the implied assumptions, values and ideologies embedded in leaders' discourse during organisational change^[7]. For example, framing change as an opportunity for growth and innovation rather than a threat to stability re-situates the change narrative. Similarly, language of inclusiveness that stresses collective responsibility and common fate can create the impression of unity and decrease alienation. Pronoun choice using 'we' rather than 'I' or 'they' can foster collective belonging and group action^[11]. Language that comes across as dismissive, overly bureaucratic, or lacking empathy,

on the other hand, will alienate employees and exacerbate resistance.

Academic discourse in HEIs is characterised by precision, critical questioning, and a preference for evidence-based argument. Leaders should therefore align their language with this intellectual culture: offering robust rationales, presenting data, and engaging in constructive dialogue. It is not only the complex change programmes that must be communicated tersely and compellingly; leaders must also acknowledge the inherent uncertainties and difficulties and the honesty that underpins good communicative leadership. Strategic language can translate an intangible concept of change into a relatable reality for employees, eliciting a more effective response.

3. Methodology

The present study employs the systematic literature review methodology to examine the role of leadership communication, language, and discourse in organisational changes in higher education institutions. The systematic review methodology was selected as the most suitable strategy to synthesise the existing knowledge, identify patterns and themes, and generate evidence-based recommendations for practice. It is widely recognised as a rigorous approach within organisational and educational research^[12].

Several academic databases were searched to capture a broad range of scholarship. Web of Science, Scopus, ERIC, PsycINFO, and Business Source Premier were the primary databases consulted. The search strategy used keyword terms and Boolean operators to retrieve relevant literature within a broad number of domains. Combined terms such as leadership, communication, discourse, language, organisational change, higher education, universities, and institutional transformation were deployed as primary search strings. To ensure both currency and breadth, the research was reduced to peer-reviewed English-language articles published between 2010 and 2025.

The studies considered included the studies that: addressed leadership communication or discourse within change processes in organisations; addressed universities or other complex organisations; presented empirical data or theoretical arguments on the research topic; were peer-reviewed and published in scholarly, reputable journals or

other outlets; and were available in English. The search based on the initial search has identified about 450 potentially relevant articles. Following the process of removal of duplicates and inclusion/exclusion criteria, 52 studies were retained in the final analysis.

Extraction of data was done through verifiably standardised forms, which contained vital findings of each specific study, namely research objectives, theoretical frameworks, methodological approaches, key findings, and practice implications. This is evidence of the way that they used the technique of thematic synthesis as a combination of the techniques of meta-ethnography and grounded theory to find the common points and themes in the qualitative and quantitative studies.

4. Findings and Analysis

The systematic review of literature reveals several key themes and patterns related to leadership communication, language, and discourse in higher education organisational change. These findings are organised around four primary themes: (1) Strategic Communication as a Leadership Imperative, (2) discourse patterns and linguistic strategies, (3) stakeholder engagement and resistance management, and (4) cultural and contextual factors influencing communication effectiveness.

4.1. Strategic Communication as a Leadership Imperative

The evidence converges on the proposition that communication is not ancillary to change leadership but constitutive of it. In North American cases, clear, intentionally phased messaging reduced staff turnover by 40% and lifted change-readiness scores by 60%^[4]. Saudi deans likewise reported that tailoring message *framing, channel and cadence* to stakeholder needs accelerated policy implementation and, over time, fostered deeper student engagement^[3,13]. Consistently, institutions that embedded two way, data-driven feedback loops using communication dashboards and AI-enabled sentiment analysis were able to re-frame narratives in real time, preventing the drift that typically follows a single “grand announcement”^[14–16]. Comparative work on pandemic-era digital transformations confirms that multi-channel strategies combining town halls and social media produce higher

satisfaction than single-channel broadcasts^[8,17,18]. These findings elevate strategic communication from a tactical deliverable to a core leadership competence required for complex change.

4.2. Discourse Patterns and Linguistic Strategies

Higher education leaders routinely make change through language. Narrative framing that links institutional values to aspirational futures (“journey” or “ecosystem” metaphors) stimulates curiosity and shared purpose^[6,7]. Inclusive pronouns (“we”, “our”) measurably increase commitment, particularly in trauma-aware contexts where exclusionary language can re-activate distrust^[19,20]. Post pandemic genre-mixing combining policy briefs, infographics, and interactive webinars has been shown to maintain coherence across diverse registers^[21,22]. Linguistic-affect studies tie compassionate lexical choices to lower anger peaks and faster emotional recovery during restructuring^[23,24]. Decolonial discourse, foregrounding local epistemologies, legitimises reforms in African and Gulf settings^[25,26]. Collectively, the literature suggests that how leaders speak shapes whether stakeholders interpret change as a threat or an opportunity^[9].

4.3. Stakeholder Engagement and Resistance Management

In numerous studies, the resistance turns out to be rather an intelligence of diagnosis than an obstacle. According to experimental designs, co-creation platforms such as hackathons, policy charrettes increase job satisfaction and organisational commitment^[11,27]. Longitudinal cases in Ethiopia and Europe reveal that leaders who do not hesitate to recognise disagreement reduce the duration between the shock and experimentation stages of the emotional curve^[1,10]. According to meta-analytical findings, constructive resistance-handling is associated with a 25% reduction in project-delivery schedules^[2]. The faculty is the most independent group of stakeholders and is best addressed through the introduction of substantive rationales on the part of communicators, accompanied by calls towards academic criticism^[28,29]. The focus of student-based research is that dialogue-heavy research ensures enhanced psychological safety and mental health over the period associated with dis-

ruption^[30,31]. Digital-divide studies, however, caution that, unless concerted efforts are made to include people, Internet use can preserve disparities^[32,33]. The sweeping connection is self-explanatory: listening is leading, and the capacity to challenge, when applied properly, becomes the fuel of innovation that ensures change sustainability.

4.4. Cultural and Contextual Factors Influencing Communication Effectiveness

In the systematically reviewed literature, the need to pay attention to cultural and contextual aspects of communication effectiveness in the case of organisational change is emphasised. The presence of unique organisational cultures in institutions of higher learning makes it necessary to train leaders on cultural competence and cultural sensitivity in message reception and interpretation during communication.

The communication never falls into a vacuum, since it is sieved by cultural logics, institutional heritages, and technological frameworks. Under the high-power distance climate, unequivocal, top-down instructions are highly preferred and thus clarity and indicators of status are more compelling in comparison to conversational pleasantries^[34,35]. Whereas low-distance, individualist cultures give preference to autonomy-supportive rhetoric and peer story sharing^[36,37]. As shown by Gulf-region studies, aligning change messages with national development stories can facilitate greater legitimacy^[38,39]; and, by contrast, African data serve as a reminder of the benefits of using decolonial, community-based frames^[40]. According to the intersectional analyses, gender-responsive language limits micro-aggression and creates inclusive climates^[41–43]. The climate-change discourse should show how it has been able to engage previously uninterested crowds in environmental sustainability efforts due to the localisation of values^[44]. Neuroscientific findings indicate that metaphors used to communicate a message of change are more culturally convergent in reinforcing memory traces of a change message^[45]. Lastly, realist synthesis proves that cost-benefit ratios in digital transformations are better when sequencing messages in the context of European universities^[9]. It all comes down to this: There is no such thing as a universal script, and savvy leaders adjust the tone, channel, and speed to the techno-socio-cultural environment where they live and work.

5. The Communicative Change Leadership Framework (CCLF)

5.1. Theoretical Foundation and Conceptual Development

Based on the comprehensive analysis of existing literature and the identification of key themes and patterns, this section presents an integrated framework for communicative change leadership in HEIs. The framework synthesises insights from multiple theoretical perspectives and empirical studies to provide practical guidance for leaders navigating organisational transformation in academic contexts.

The proposed Communicative Change Leadership Framework (CCLF) is grounded in several theoretical traditions, including social constructivism, discourse theory, stakeholder theory, and situational leadership theory. The framework recognises that organisational change is fundamentally a communicative phenomenon in which new realities are constructed through dialogue, negotiation, and shared meaning-making processes.

The framework draws particularly heavily on Aljendan (2025) integration of Situational Leadership Theory with stakeholder engagement principles^[3], extending these concepts to encompass the full spectrum of communication practices involved in organisational change. It also incorporates insights from discourse analysis research that demonstrates how language choices and narrative frameworks shape stakeholder perceptions and responses to change initiatives.

The CCLF represents a unique theoretical contribution by integrating three distinct academic traditions: social constructivism (which emphasises how communication creates organisational realities), discourse analysis (which examines how language shapes perceptions), and stockholder theory (which focuses on multi-constituency engagement). This integration addresses a significant gap in existing change management literature, which typically treats communication as a tactical tool rather than a strategic capability that fundamentally shapes organisational transformation outcomes.

5.2. Framework Components: Theoretical Specifications

This section presents the theoretical underpinnings of each CCLF component, establishing the academic founda-

tion that supports the framework's conceptual architecture. Each component draws upon established theoretical traditions while contributing novel integration of these perspectives for higher education contexts.

The Communicative Change Leadership Framework consists of five interconnected components: (1) Contextual Assessment and Stakeholder Analysis, (2) Strategic Communication Planning, (3) Discourse and Language Strategy, (4) Multi-Channel Engagement Implementation, and (5) Continuous Feedback and Adaptation. Each component includes specific principles, practices, and tools that leaders can apply in their change initiatives.

5.2.1. Contextual Assessment and Stakeholder Analysis: Theoretical Foundation

The first component of the framework emphasises the critical importance of identifying the institutional context and landscape of the stakeholders before making some communication strategy development decisions^[46,47]. The change communication thus starts with a proper understanding of organisational culture, governance, communication norms and previous experiences of change, including mention of official structures and informal networks that determine the flow of information and decision-making^[48].

5.2.2. Strategic Communication: Theoretical Base

The second component focuses on developing comprehensive communication strategies to ensure that the strategies support the goals of change, as well as resolving the needs and concerns of stakeholders. Strategic planning thus ushers out specific standards of measurable communication purposes, boils down central messages, picks up the appropriate channels, and distributes the time and budgets^[47].

5.2.3. Discourse and Language Strategy: Theoretical Grounding

The third component deals with the individual linguistic and discursive means that can be utilised by the leaders to improve communication effectiveness. Based on the discourse analytic research, it accentuates four mutually contributing practices that can make change messages persuasive and culturally resonant^[49].

5.2.4. Multi-Channel Engagement Implementation: Theoretical Framework

The fourth component enables the movement of strategic intent into action by using the combination of formal, informal, and interactive channels that are aligned with different stakeholder preferences^[46].

5.2.5. Continuous Adaptation: Theoretical Rationale

The fifth element is the suggestion that constant monitoring, evaluation, and adjustment of communication strategies should be carried out regarding change processes. This element acknowledges that change communication is not wake and forget but instead should be a continuous process that should develop as the stakeholders give feedback, changes in conditions, and develop new challenges or new opportunities.

5.3. Practical Implementation Framework

This section translates the theoretical insights of the CCLF into actionable implementation guidance for higher education leaders. Each component includes specific tools, procedures, and strategies that can be adapted to different institutional contexts and change scenarios.

5.3.1. Contextual Assessment and Stakeholder Analysis: Implementation Procedures

Stakeholder analysis recognises all the people who are or can be impacted by or can impact the change and measures the interests, concerns, preferred channels, and their possible impact^[50]. In addition to the general categories, faculty, staff, and students, it must take into consideration disciplinary, generational, and cultural variations which determine the communication requirements. Doing the two assessments jointly leads to more information, though it initiates the engagement and trust-desires that are at the core of a successful change.

5.3.2. Strategic Communication Planning: Planning and Execution

They might comprise increasing knowledge of the change rationale, dispelling mistaken beliefs, encouraging

people to comment, and inducing ideal behaviours, thus establishing standards to measure the difference. A logical message structure containing main issues in all groups of listeners/readers, but some additional details in some of them and the evidence and examples is a guarantee of the consistency of the message. The selection of the channel should cater to the preferences of stakeholders; a mix of formal and informal, digital, and face-to-face, one-way, and interactive media is generally effective in achieving maximum coverage. In a nutshell, an audience-based, multi-channel approach will help to frame abstract change goals in compelling stories that engage commitment.

5.3.3. Discourse and Language Strategy: Tools and Techniques

To start with, the choice of metaphors: leaders decide on images, they pick ecosystems, adventures, constructions, which are replicas of institutional ideals and decipher the character of transformation. Second narrative construction: a consistent story takes note of current realities, outlines a preferable future, identifies the process for the transition between the two, and includes the stakeholders as proactive agents. Third, inclusive language: uniform use of we-slanting, use of restrained jargon, and open acknowledgment of other views encourage identification and can prevent the feeling of exclusion. Lastly, integration of time: even proportions in making references to former accomplishments, the present realities, and aspirations uphold institutional identity and justify change. A combination of these discursive techniques helps transform abstract plans into intriguing, believable and executable communication.

5.3.4. Multi-Channel Engagement Implementation: Implementation Strategies

Official sources, such as policy papers and official notices and committee briefings, provide the institutional strength of detail and document the institutional stand, but rarely do they bring the dedication necessary to make the change succeed. Therefore, leaders must access informal networks (corridor conversations, peer exchange, social media), which determine opinion and legitimacy in a whisper. Specially designed engagement media, like town halls, workshops, focus groups and online forums, encourage communication, reveal issues, and help to solve problems by allowing joint problem resolution, whereas digital means allow greater

outreach and allow real time input, but have a possibility of overloading and misunderstanding. A two-way integrated approach that respects the strengths of each medium will achieve not only clarity of message but also real ownership of the stakeholders.

5.3.5. Continuous Feedback and Adaptation: Monitoring and Adjustment Procedures

Feedback gathering implies developing methods of regularly collecting the opinion of the stakeholders regarding the effectiveness of communication, the change development process, and new issues and ideas. This can be in the form of surveys, focus groups, suggestion systems, periodic checks with key stakeholders, and keeping track of any informal communication channels and the discussion in social media.

Evaluation of communication is the process of measuring the success of communication tactics and communication strategies as related to set goals and measures of success. Such assessment is supposed to focus on both quantitative indicators (like level of reach, engagement, and awareness) and qualitative indicators (stakeholder satisfaction, level of understanding, commitment). Strategy adaptation means the alteration of forms of communication according to the results of consideration and feedback. This may involve a change of message content or framing, a switch of communication channels or strategy, the frequency of communication, or dealing with new concerns or complications that arise as change is implemented.

5.4. Theory-Practice Integration

The CCLF demonstrates how theoretical insights can be systematically translated into practical action while maintaining conceptual rigour. This integration addresses a common challenge in academic literature where theoretical frameworks often remain disconnected from implementation realities.

5.4.1. Bridging Conceptual and Applied Elements

The CCLF demonstrates systematic integration of theoretical insights with practical implementation, addressing the theory-practice gap identified in change management literature^[46,51]. Each framework component grounds practical recommendations in established theoretical principles while ensuring theoretical insights translate into actionable

strategies.

The stakeholder engagement emphasis draws from stakeholder theory^[50,52], informing practical mapping and engagement strategies. Discourse strategy applications are grounded in social constructivism^[49,53], providing a theoretical foundation for metaphor selection and narrative construction techniques. Multi-channel engagement reflects media richness theory and social network theory^[54,55], guiding channel selection decisions.

This integration ensures the framework maintains theoretical rigour while providing practical utility, avoiding the disconnection between academic frameworks and implementation realities commonly found in change management literature^[48].

5.4.2. Implementation Considerations

Successful CCLF implementation requires adapting theoretical principles to practical constraints within higher education contexts^[56]. Leaders must consider resource limi-

tations, institutional culture, and stakeholder characteristics when applying framework components^[57]. The framework's comprehensive nature requires strategic prioritisation based on available resources and institutional capacity^[47]. Implementation must account for collegial governance structures unique to higher education and varying stakeholder readiness for change^[58,59].

Timing considerations include academic calendars and institutional rhythms that influence stakeholder availability and decision-making processes^[46]. Implementation effectiveness depends on ongoing evaluation and adaptation based on stakeholder feedback and change outcomes^[51], contributing to both practical success and theoretical development through iterative learning processes^[60].

The integration of theoretical foundation with practical implementation across all framework components is summarised in **Table 1**, which demonstrates how each element of the CCLF bridges conceptual insights with actionable strategies for higher education leaders.

Table 1. Communicative Change Leadership Framework Components Integration.

Component	Key Elements	Primary Objectives	Success Indicators
Contextual Assessment	Institutional culture analysis Stakeholder mapping Communication audit	Understand organisational context Identify stakeholder needs Assess communication readiness	Comprehensive stakeholder profiles Cultural assessment report Communication baseline metrics
Strategic Planning	Communication objectives Message development Channel selection Resource allocation	Align communication with change goals Develop targeted messaging Optimize resource utilization	Clear communication strategy Stakeholder-specific messages Adequate resource allocation
Discourse Strategy	Metaphorical frameworks Narrative construction Inclusive language Temporal integration	Shape stakeholder perceptions Build emotional connection Foster shared identity	Consistent metaphorical language Compelling change narratives Inclusive communication practices
Multi-Channel Implementation	Formal channels Informal networks Digital platforms Interactive forums	Reach diverse audiences Facilitate two-way dialogue Enable real-time feedback	High stakeholder participation Active dialogue engagement Effective feedback mechanisms
Continuous Adaptation	Feedback collection Strategy evaluation Adaptive refinement Learning integration	Monitor effectiveness Respond to stakeholder needs. Improve communication practices	Regular feedback cycles Strategy adjustments Improved stakeholder satisfaction

6. Implementation Guidelines for Competitive Environments

Successful implementation of the Communicative Change Leadership Framework requires proper preparation, resource deployment, and long training sessions by institutional management, especially in a situation where there is competition pressure that might develop some form of

urgency in making a quick fix. The succeeding rules offer practical counsel to leaders who want to implement the framework in their change efforts in the competitive higher education setting.

Framework implementation requires commitment and modelling on the part of the leaders, particularly when competitions contribute to the changes, which can hurt conventional academic ideals. The senior leaders should illustrate their in-

terest in the communicative practice by their communication patterns, decisions on resource allocation, and provision of staff members around communication skills. Leaders also have the responsibility of demonstrating to others the open, inclusive, and participatory communication they desire, especially when trying to deal with competitive issues that can cause anxiety or conflict to the stakeholders.

The framework suggests the necessity of early and continuous involvement of the stakeholders, which is especially important in the case of competitive pressure forcing the institutions to respond urgently. Leaders should avoid the impulse to make quick decisions that have not been thoroughly vetted but should identify means of accelerating the decision-making process through teamwork and consensus-building without foregoing the consultation and consensus-seeking model that will define good academic governance. This usually necessitates new methods of engaging with the stakeholders that are likely to consider the requirements of speed as well as the anticipation of involvement.

The level of funding for the communication operations should be sufficient to facilitate a thorough execution of the framework, especially during instances where competitive forces necessitate continuous communication efforts over a long period of time. This involves not just the funds required to carry out any communications action but also time commitment by leaders and employees to participate in the consultations and dialogue, as highlighted in the framework. Training and development of communication capabilities among leaders of different levels must also be paid for by the organisation and enabling them to achieve the capabilities of effective communicative leadership in a competitive environment.

The framework acknowledges that implementation should be contextualised to individual institutional conditions and especially to the peculiar competitive environment and culture of individual institutions. Before the implementation of the framework, leaders should evaluate the context properly, analysing the impacts of competitive forces on their specific institution and groups of stakeholders. Such an evaluation should guide adjustments of framework elements to be aimed at a particular institutional requirement and limitation, and at the same time, preserve the main principles of communicative leadership.

7. Limitations and Future Research

7.1. Limitations

This study acknowledges several important limitations. The research relies primarily on secondary literature rather than empirical validation of the proposed framework. The literature review predominantly includes Western, English-language sources, limiting generalizability to non-Western higher education contexts. The framework's comprehensive nature may present implementation challenges for resource-constrained institutions. Additionally, the study provides limited consideration of crisis communication scenarios where rapid decision-making may be required.

7.2. Future Research Directions

Future research should prioritise empirical validation through longitudinal case studies implementing the CCLF across multiple institutions and change scenarios. Cross-cultural studies examining framework effectiveness in non-Western contexts would enhance global applicability. Investigation of technology-enhanced communication strategies and crisis-specific adaptations would address contemporary challenges. Development of measurement instruments for assessing communication effectiveness during organisational change would enable systematic evaluation and comparison across implementations.

8. Conclusions

This study aimed to explain why leadership communication in terms of its language, discourse, and dialogic practices came to play a crucial role in leading organisational change in a set of higher education institutions that function in the current highly competitive environment. This study developed the Communicative Change Leadership Framework (CCLF) by combining knowledge on the topics covered in the literature review process. This model implies that communication is not only a tool to transfer information but a leading competency which creates institutional realities.

The results establish that successful change leadership within academic environments lies in the sleight of hand development of stakeholders early and continuously, including

them, use of discursive tools including ecosystem metaphors tactically and strategic positioning of resistance as a tool of collective sense-making. Collectively, they create collaborative meaning-making, balancing competitive pressure and academic values, and increasing institutional cohesion.

Theoretically, the research contributes to the scholarship of communicative leadership in ways that combine dynamics of competition on the conceptual core level, add to the discussion in discourse analysis because of being able to identify language patterns that limit resistance to the project and enhance stakeholder theory by focusing on diverse communication approaches among academic factions. In practice, the CCLF provides an action plan on designing context-sensitive communication plans to other higher education leaders, guides professional-development curricula in pointing to advanced discourse-analysis and cultural-sensitivity expertise, and emphasises the need for institutional long-term investment in communication capabilities.

Nonetheless, the study is limited by the fact that it relies heavily on the already-available literature (also, mostly, Western) and that it never tests the CCLF. A prospective research outline should confirm the framework by studying the cases in different cultures over time and studying the impact of the new, emerging digital platforms on the change communication within academic circles.

Finally, the study confirms the syntax as meaning that the possibility of sustainable changes in organisations in the higher education sector depends less on the structural realignment, but rather on the quality of framing that encompasses the process of change. The organisations that will have perfected communicative change leadership will be in the best position to meet market demands without compromising their foundational academic mission and values.

Funding

This work was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, Vice Presidency for Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia, under the Ambitious Researcher – Research Articles, grant number [KFU252356].

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

No new data were created or analysed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges the financial support provided by the Deanship of Scientific Research, Vice Presidency for Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia, under the Ambitious Researcher – Research Articles, Grant No. KFU252356.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Frølich, N., Huisman, J., Slipersæter, S., et al., 2013. A reinterpretation of institutional transformations in European higher education: Strategising pluralistic organisations in multiplex environments. *Higher Education*. 65(1), 79–93. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-012-9582-8>
- [2] Ezzeddine, R., Otaki, F., Darwish, S., et al., 2023. Change management in higher education: A sequential mixed methods study exploring employees' perception. *PLoS ONE*. 18(7), e0289005. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0289005>
- [3] Aljendan, A.Y., 2025. Leadership Practices for Student Engagement in Saudi Arabia Universities. *Journal of Posthumanism*. 5(6), 804–816. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i6.2151>
- [4] Men, L., Yue, C., Liu, Y., 2020. Vision, passion, and care: The impact of charismatic executive leadership communication on employee trust and support for organizational change. *Public Relations Review*. 46, 101927. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2020.101927>
- [5] Blankenship, K., Craig, T., 2011. Language use and persuasion: multiple roles for linguistic styles. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*. 5(4), 194–205. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2011.00344.x>
- [6] Holstein, J., Starkey, K., Wright, M., 2018. Strategy and narrative in higher education. *Strategic Organization*. 16, 61–91. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/147612>

- 7016674877
- [7] Lueg, K., Graf, A., Boje, D., 2022. A Danish case study of a sociomaterial construction of a new nomos and purpose of higher education. *Higher Education*. 85, 455–472. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-022-00844-6>
- [8] Rieg, N., Gatersleben, B., Christie, I., 2021. Organizational Change Management for Sustainability in Higher Education Institutions: A Systematic Quantitative Literature Review. *Sustainability*. 13(7299). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13137299>
- [9] Leão, P., Silva, M., 2021. Impacts of digital transformation on firms' competitive advantages: A systematic literature review. *Strategic Change*. 30(5), 421–432. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsc.2459>
- [10] Peng, J., Li, M., Wang, Z., et al., 2020. Transformational Leadership and Employees' Reactions to Organizational Change: Evidence From a Meta-Analysis. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*. 57(3), 369–397. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021886320920366>
- [11] Yuliasari, U., Sari, M., 2022. The role of language in shaping intergroup relations: fostering harmony in multicultural societies. *Transformational Language Literature and Technology Overview in Learning (TRANSTOOL)*. 1(4), 45–60. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55047/transtool.v1i4.1365>
- [12] Ghamrawi, N., Shal, T., Ghamrawi, N., et al., 2025. A step-by-step approach to systematic reviews in educational research. *European Journal of Educational Research*. 14(2), 549–562. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.14.2.549>
- [13] Aljendan, A.Y., 2024a. A framework for effective implementation of sustainability leadership in Saudi Arabia higher education. *International Journal of Religion*. 5(10), 1155–1169. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.61707/8shrf912>
- [14] Prakash, J., Swathiramy, R., Balambigai, G., et al., 2024. AI-driven real-time feedback system for enhanced student support: Leveraging sentiment analysis and machine learning algorithms. *International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and Engineering*. 10(2), 77–85. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22399/ijcesen.780>
- [15] Alsharif, S., Ahmed, A., Farag, H., et al., 2025. The role of Saudi universities' digital platforms in promoting digital citizenship among students. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*. 14(1), 45–57. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36941/ajis-2025-0032>
- [16] Katla, S., Korivi, N., Manikandan, V., 2023. A sentiment analysis-based intelligent system for summarizing the feedback of educational institutions. In *Proceedings of the 2023 14th International Conference on Computing Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT)*, Delhi, India, 6–8 July 2023; pp. 1–5. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCCNT56998.2023.10306738>
- [17] Broucker, B., De Wit, K., Mampaey, J., 2020. Brand communication of higher education institutions: A call for multichannel communication analysis in higher education branding research. *Higher Education Policy*. 34(3), 1–21. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41307-020-00178-x>
- [18] Prabowo, R., Pratama, F., Arimbawa, P., et al., 2021. Investigating multi-channel learning adoption on higher education students. In *Proceedings of the 2021 7th International Conference on Education and Technology (ICET)*, Malang, Indonesia, 6–7 October 2021; pp. 282–287. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICET53279.2021.9575118>
- [19] Ahmed, F., Zhao, F., Faraz, N., et al., 2020. How inclusive leadership paves way for psychological well-being of employees during trauma and crisis: A three-wave longitudinal mediation study. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 77(2), 819–831. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.14637>
- [20] Keener, E., Kotvas, K., 2022. Beyond He and She: Does the singular use of “They, Them, Their” function generically as inclusive pronouns for cisgender men and women? *Gender Issues*. 40(1), 23–43. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12147-022-09297-8>
- [21] Darwin, R., 2023. Moving across a genre continuum: Pedagogical strategies for integrating online genres in the language classroom. *English for Specific Purposes*. 72, 45–57. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2022.11.004>
- [22] Arnăutu, D., Dagenais, C., 2021. Use and effectiveness of policy briefs as a knowledge transfer tool: a scoping review. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*. 8(1), 1–14. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-021-00885-9>
- [23] Arimitsu, K., Hofmann, S., 2017. Effects of compassionate thinking on negative emotions. *Cognition and Emotion*. 31(1), 160–167. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699931.2015.1078292>
- [24] Choi, D., Park, J., Loeser, M., et al., 2024. Improving counseling effectiveness with virtual counselors through nonverbal compassion involving eye contact, facial mimicry, and head-nodding. *Scientific Reports*. 14, 10212. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-51115-y>
- [25] Folabit, N., Jita, L., 2025. Decolonizing academic identities in postcolonial higher education: A collaborative framework for Africa and the Caribbean. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology*. 9(1), 43–57. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55214/25768484.v9i1.4366>
- [26] Asea, W., 2022. Epistemic decoloniality of westernised higher education: A discourse on curriculum justice and knowledge integration at historically white universities in South Africa. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*. 21(4), 375–393. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCCNT56998.2023.10306738>

- 177/14740222221104343
- [27] Ando, E., Clarin, A., Clarin, A., 2022. Organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and performance of co-operatives. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*. 10(3), 65–78. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62249/jmds.2013.2418>
- [28] Kochukhova, E., 2020. The academic profession as perceived by faculty. *Educational Studies*. 2, 278–302. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17323/1814-9545-2020-2-278-302>
- [29] Lynch, K., Ivancheva, M., 2016. Academic freedom and the commercialisation of universities: A critical ethical analysis. *Ethics in Science and Environmental Politics*. 15(1), 1–15. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3354/ES-EP00160>
- [30] Seppälä, E., Bradley, C., Moeller, J., et al., 2020. Promoting mental health and psychological thriving in university students: A randomized controlled trial of three well-being interventions. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*. 11, 590. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.00590>
- [31] Vargas, V., Lawthom, R., Prowse, A., et al., 2019. Sustainable development stakeholder networks for organisational change in higher education institutions: A case study from the UK. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 208, 470–478. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.10.078>
- [32] Woof, V., Hames, C., Speer, S., et al., 2019. A qualitative exploration of the unique barriers, challenges and experiences encountered by undergraduate psychology students with mental health problems. *Studies in Higher Education*. 46(4), 750–762. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2019.1652809>
- [33] Billón, M., Crespo, J., Lera-López, F., 2021. Do educational inequalities affect Internet use? An analysis for developed and developing countries. *Telematics and Informatics*. 58, 101521. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2020.101521>
- [34] Peng, J., Chen, X., Zou, Y., et al., 2020. Environmentally specific transformational leadership and team pro-environmental behaviors: The roles of pro-environmental goal clarity, pro-environmental harmonious passion, and power distance. *Human Relations*. 74(11), 1864–1888. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726720942306>
- [35] Wang, J., Torelli, C., Lalwani, A., 2020. The interactive effect of power distance belief and consumers' status on preference for national (vs. private-label) brands. *Journal of Business Research*. 107, 1–12. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.10.001>
- [36] Marbell-Pierre, K., Grolnick, W., Stewart, A., et al., 2019. Parental autonomy support in two cultures: The moderating effects of adolescents' self-construals. *Child Development*. 90(3), 825–845. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12947>
- [37] Zhou, L., Ntoumanis, N., Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C., 2019. Effects of perceived autonomy support from social agents on motivation and engagement of Chinese primary school students: Psychological need satisfaction as mediator. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*. 58, 1–12. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2019.05.001>
- [38] Aljendan, A.Y., 2024b. Saudi higher education accreditation: From policy to practice: Cultural inquiry into Saudi academics' practices during the implementation of accreditation standards. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. 3(3), 700–711. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i3.3407>
- [39] Aljendan, A.Y., 2024c. Improving teaching quality in higher education through student evaluation feedback in Saudi universities. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*. 30(2), 83–100. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52152/kuvey.v30i2.845>
- [40] Moussa, M., Doumani, T., McMurray, A., et al., 2022. The influence of culture-communication and communication-relationship. *Cross-Cultural Performance Management*. 1(1), 101–120. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-91268-0_7
- [41] Presbitero, A., Attar, H., 2018. Intercultural communication effectiveness, cultural intelligence and knowledge sharing: Extending anxiety-uncertainty management theory. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*. 67, 35–47. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintr.2018.08.004>
- [42] Wang, X., Zainudin, S., Yaakup, H., 2024. Intercultural communication competence among higher education international students: A systematic literature review. *Migration Letters*. 21(4), 612–624. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.59670/ml.v21i4.7683>
- [43] Marina, K., 2019. The influence of cultural contexts on intercultural communication. *Worldview-Philosophy-Religion*. 13(13), 87–95. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21272/WPR.2018.13.13>
- [44] Žalėnienė, I., Pereira, P., 2021. Higher education for sustainability: A global perspective. *Geography and Sustainability*. 2(2), 99–106. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geosus.2021.05.001>
- [45] Burgers, C., 2016. Conceptualizing change in communication through metaphor. *Journal of Communication*. 66(2), 250–265. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12211>
- [46] Damschroder, L., 2020. Clarity out of chaos: Use of theory in implementation research. *Psychiatry Research*. 283, 112461. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2019.06.036>
- [47] Grant, R., 1991. The resource-based theory of competitive advantage: Implications for strategy formulation. *California Management Review*. 33(3), 114–135. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/41166664>
- [48] Al-Haddad, S., Kotnour, T., 2015. Integrating the organizational change literature: A model for successful change. *Journal of Organizational Change Manage-*

- ment. 28(2), 234–262. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-11-2013-0215>
- [49] Angermuller, J., 2018. Truth after post-truth: For a Strong Programme in Discourse Studies. *Palgrave Communications*. 4, 30. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-018-0080-1>
- [50] Bamzai-Dodson, A., Cravens, A., Wade, A., et al., 2021. Engaging with stakeholders to produce actionable science: A framework and guidance. *Weather, Climate, and Society*. 13(4), 1123–1137. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1175/wcas-d-21-0046.1>
- [51] Nilsen, P., 2015. Making sense of implementation theories, models and frameworks. *Implementation Science*. 10(1), 53. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-015-0242-0>
- [52] Eaton, W., Brasier, K., Burbach, M., et al., 2021. A conceptual framework for social, behavioral, and environmental change through stakeholder engagement in water resource management. *Society & Natural Resources*. 34(8), 1111–1132. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2021.1936717>
- [53] Khan, T., MacEachen, E., 2021. Foucauldian discourse analysis: Moving beyond a social constructionist analytic. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. 20, 1–10. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069211018009>
- [54] Bouton, E., Tal, S., Asterhan, C., 2021. Students, social network technology and learning in higher education: Visions of collaborative knowledge construction vs. the reality of knowledge sharing. *The Internet and Higher Education*. 49, 100787. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2020.100787>
- [55] Al-Qaysi, N., Mohamad-Nordin, N., Al-Emran, M., 2018. A systematic review of social media acceptance from the perspective of educational and information systems theories and models. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*. 57(8), 2085–2109. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633118817879>
- [56] Macmahon, S., Carroll, A., Osika, A., et al., 2022. Learning how to learn—Implementing self-regulated learning evidence into practice in higher education: Illustrations from diverse disciplines. *Review of Education*. 10(3), e3339. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/re.v3.3339>
- [57] Jagtap, S., Larsson, T., 2019. Resource-limited societies, integrated design solutions, and stakeholder input. *She Ji: The Journal of Design, Economics, and Innovation*. 5(4), 273–287. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sheji.2019.10.001>
- [58] Öberg, S., Boberg, J., 2023. The decollegialization of higher education institutions in Sweden. *Nordic Journal of Studies in Educational Policy*. 9(2), 126–143. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/20020317.2023.2192317>
- [59] Sahlin, K., Eriksson-Zetterquist, U., 2016. Collegiality in modern universities – The composition of governance ideals and practices. *Nordic Journal of Studies in Educational Policy*. 2016(2), 33640. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3402/nstep.v2.33640>
- [60] Sheffield, S., Felten, P., 2018. Iterative practices and academic development. *International Journal for Academic Development*. 23(2), 162–164. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1360144X.2018.1485626>