

ARTICLE

ChatGPT in Academic Writing: A Boon or a Bane?

Muhammad Sohail Abbas^{1,2} 

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Malakand, Chakdara 18800, Dir-L, Pakistan

²CAS Key Laboratory of Nanosystems and Hierarchical Fabrication, CAS Center for Excellence in Nanoscience, National Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Beijing 100190, China

ABSTRACT

The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) has introduced tools like ChatGPT, transforming academic writing by streamlining tasks such as summarization, citation generation, and linguistic refinement. While it enhances efficiency and supports non-native English speakers and early-career researchers, its adoption raises ethical concerns, including risks of plagiarism, over-reliance, and diminished critical thinking. Furthermore, ChatGPT's limitations in originality, contextual understanding, and factual accuracy highlight the need for vigilant oversight and clear ethical guidelines. This paper critically examines ChatGPT's dual role in academia, offering recommendations to maximize its benefits while maintaining scholarly integrity. When used responsibly, ChatGPT has the potential to complement human intellect and advance academic writing practices.

Keywords: ChatGPT; Academic Writing; Research Articles; Artificial Intelligence; Ethics; Originality; Productivity

1. Introduction

The rapid evolution of artificial intelligence (AI) has transformed various sectors, from healthcare to education,

and academia is no exception. Among the cutting-edge tools, ChatGPT a sophisticated language model developed by OpenAI has gained significant attention for its ability to generate coherent and contextually appropriate text. Its application in

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Muhammad Sohail Abbas, Department of Chemistry, University of Malakand, Chakdara 18800, Dir-L, Pakistan; CAS Key Laboratory of Nanosystems and Hierarchical Fabrication, CAS Center for Excellence in Nanoscience, National Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Beijing 100190, China; Email: gul.rao85@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 1 September 2024 | Revised: 19 September 2024 | Accepted: 3 October 2024 | Published Online: 10 October 2024
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30564/jeis.v6i2.8491>

CITATION

Abbas, M.S., 2024. ChatGPT in Academic Writing: A Boon or a Bane? *Journal of Electronic & Information Systems*. 6(2): 16–24. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30564/jeis.v6i2.8491>

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2024 by the author(s). Published by Bilingual Publishing Group. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

academic writing has sparked considerable debate, offering transformative potential while raising ethical, intellectual, and practical challenges. This dual role of ChatGPT as a facilitator of innovation and a source of concern necessitates a critical exploration of its implications for scholarly work^[1–10].

Academic writing is a cornerstone of intellectual progress, serving as a medium for disseminating research findings, fostering interdisciplinary dialogue, and advancing theoretical frameworks. However, the process of crafting high-quality manuscripts often poses challenges, particularly for non-native English speakers, early-career researchers, and those navigating the pressures of tight deadlines or complex methodologies^[11]. ChatGPT has emerged as a promising tool to address these challenges. By automating repetitive tasks such as grammar correction, content summarization, and citation generation, it allows researchers to focus on more substantive aspects of their work. For instance, its ability to refine linguistic clarity makes it especially valuable for researchers aiming to publish in high-impact international journals, leveling the playing field for individuals from diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds^[12–14].

Despite these benefits, the integration of ChatGPT into academic writing is fraught with controversies. One of the most pressing concerns is the potential compromise of academic integrity. The ease with which ChatGPT generates polished text raises questions about plagiarism and authorship. Researchers who rely heavily on AI tools may inadvertently blur the lines between human creativity and machine-generated content. This concern is particularly acute in disciplines where originality, analytical rigor, and theoretical innovation are paramount. Furthermore, over-reliance on AI tools risks diminishing the critical thinking and writing skills essential for academic and intellectual growth^[15–17].

Another significant limitation lies in ChatGPT's contextual understanding and accuracy. While the tool excels at generating coherent text, it occasionally produces inaccurate or irrelevant information, a phenomenon that has been documented in fields requiring precise data interpretation, such as medicine and engineering. For instance, ChatGPT has been shown to fabricate references or misinterpret complex concepts, underscoring the need for human oversight. These inaccuracies not only undermine the credibility of academic work but also raise ethical concerns about the dissemination

of misinformation^[18–21].

The implications of ChatGPT's integration extend beyond individual researchers to the broader academic ecosystem. Questions surrounding the role of AI in authorship, peer review, and intellectual property remain largely unresolved. How should academic institutions and publishers adapt to the growing prevalence of AI-generated content? What frameworks can ensure that AI tools are used responsibly, without eroding the foundational principles of scholarship? Addressing these questions requires a nuanced understanding of ChatGPT's potential and its limitations^[15, 16, 19].

This paper seeks to provide a balanced analysis of ChatGPT's role in academic writing by examining its benefits, challenges, and ethical considerations. Drawing on empirical evidence and real-world case studies, it explores how ChatGPT can be leveraged to enhance productivity, inclusivity, and accessibility in academic writing. Simultaneously, it emphasizes the importance of maintaining ethical integrity, critical engagement, and human oversight to mitigate potential risks. The paper concludes with actionable recommendations for researchers, educators, and institutions, offering a roadmap for the responsible integration of ChatGPT into scholarly practices.

By positioning ChatGPT as a complementary tool rather than a replacement for human intellect, this study aims to contribute to an informed and constructive discourse on the future of academic writing. As AI continues to evolve, its role in shaping scholarly communication will depend on how effectively the academic community navigates the intersection of innovation and ethical responsibility.

2. Benefits of Using ChatGPT in Research Writing

The integration of ChatGPT into academic writing offers transformative potential across a range of activities integral to research. By enhancing productivity, addressing linguistic barriers, fostering creativity, and streamlining technical processes, ChatGPT stands as a valuable tool for researchers navigating the multifaceted demands of scholarly work. This section delves into the key benefits associated with using ChatGPT in research writing, highlighting its versatility, efficiency, and potential to democratize academic communication^[17, 22].

2.1. Enhanced Productivity

One of the most pronounced advantages of ChatGPT is its capacity to significantly enhance productivity by automating repetitive and labor-intensive tasks. Academic writing, often constrained by tight deadlines and intricate requirements, benefits greatly from this capability. ChatGPT streamlines essential processes such as summarizing voluminous texts, drafting structured outlines, and reformatting documents to align with specific publication guidelines. For example, in instances where researchers need to tailor their manuscripts to meet the stringent requirements of peer-reviewed journals, ChatGPT provides valuable assistance by ensuring consistency and adherence to prescribed standards^[22, 23].

Moreover, the tool accelerates the initial drafting phase of research writing, producing coherent content that can serve as a foundation for refinement. This efficiency is particularly valuable in the early stages of a project, where researchers can channel their time and intellectual resources into critical aspects of their work, such as experimental design, data analysis, and interpretation of results. In doing so, ChatGPT not only optimizes workflows but also reduces the cognitive load associated with mundane tasks, thereby enabling researchers to focus on producing high-quality outputs^[24, 25].

2.2. Accessibility for Non-Native English Speakers

Academic writing often presents formidable challenges for non-native English speakers, who must articulate complex ideas with precision and fluency to meet the expectations of an international readership. In this regard, ChatGPT serves as an indispensable linguistic aid, offering researchers support in improving grammar, refining sentence structure, and selecting contextually appropriate vocabulary. By transforming rough drafts into polished texts, the tool helps bridge linguistic divides, allowing researchers from diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds to compete on an equal footing within the global academic community^[18, 19, 25].

This democratization of academic writing fosters inclusivity by empowering researchers who may otherwise face systemic disadvantages due to language barriers. For instance, in regions where access to professional editing services is limited, ChatGPT provides an affordable and

effective alternative, enhancing the accessibility of international publishing platforms. This, in turn, contributes to greater diversity in scholarly contributions, enriching the global knowledge ecosystem^[11].

2.3. Creativity and Ideation

In the realm of idea generation, ChatGPT offers unique capabilities that can stimulate creativity and innovation. Researchers often encounter challenges in framing research questions, exploring novel perspectives, or conceptualizing the scope of their work. By processing specific keywords or contextual inputs, ChatGPT can generate a variety of suggestions, helping researchers identify unexplored angles or alternative approaches to their studies.

For example, during the ideation phase of interdisciplinary research, ChatGPT's ability to synthesize insights from diverse fields can inspire fresh hypotheses and methodologies. This makes it particularly useful for researchers engaging in collaborative projects that require the integration of knowledge across multiple domains. As a brainstorming tool, ChatGPT encourages intellectual exploration, making the early stages of research more dynamic and productive^[11].

2.4. Streamlined Literature Review

Conducting a literature review is a foundational yet time-intensive component of research writing. ChatGPT assists in this process by summarizing key findings from extensive bodies of literature, identifying thematic trends, and pinpointing gaps that warrant further investigation. While it cannot replace the depth and critical analysis required for a robust review, ChatGPT provides an effective starting point, enabling researchers to organize their sources and structure their narratives more efficiently^[26].

For instance, when dealing with a multidisciplinary topic, ChatGPT can quickly highlight connections between seemingly disparate studies, offering a macro-level perspective that guides deeper exploration. This functionality not only saves time but also enhances the researcher's ability to contextualize their work within existing scholarship^[26].

2.5. Improved Consistency and Clarity

Maintaining uniformity in tone, style, and structure is essential for producing coherent and professional academic

documents, particularly in collaborative projects where multiple authors contribute. ChatGPT ensures consistency by standardizing language and format, aligning the document with academic conventions and specific publisher requirements. For lengthy manuscripts, this capability improves readability and increases the likelihood of acceptance in peer-reviewed journals^[27, 28].

Additionally, ChatGPT aids in refining complex sentences and improving clarity, making dense academic writing more accessible to a broader audience. This is particularly beneficial for research intended for multidisciplinary journals, where clarity is critical to engaging readers from diverse fields^[29].

2.6. Assistance with Formatting and Citation

Proper formatting and accurate citation are vital to academic writing but are often regarded as tedious tasks prone to human error. ChatGPT simplifies this aspect by generating citations in various styles (e.g., APA, MLA, Chicago) and ensuring adherence to submission guidelines. This reduces the risk of formatting inconsistencies and enables researchers to allocate more time to the substantive aspects of their work. Furthermore, the tool's ability to format complex tables, figures, and equations makes it a valuable resource for researchers dealing with technical data presentation. By automating these tasks, ChatGPT minimizes the likelihood of errors that could delay the publication process^[30–32].

2.7. Scalability Across Disciplines

ChatGPT's adaptability across academic disciplines is another notable benefit. Whether drafting a technical report in engineering, constructing a theoretical framework in the social sciences, or analyzing textual data in the humanities, the tool adjusts its language and content to suit the unique requirements of each field. This scalability makes it an indispensable resource for researchers with diverse objectives and expertise. For example, in technical disciplines, ChatGPT can generate concise summaries of experimental methodologies, while in the humanities, it can assist in crafting nuanced arguments. Such versatility ensures that the tool remains relevant and valuable regardless of the researcher's domain^[33–35].

2.8. Cost-Effective Solution

For researchers operating on limited budgets, particularly those in developing countries or independent scholars, the high costs associated with professional editing services can be prohibitive. ChatGPT offers a cost-effective alternative, delivering high-quality writing assistance at a fraction of the expense. By making advanced linguistic support accessible to a broader audience, the tool fosters equitable participation in academic research and mitigates financial barriers to publication^[36].

By offering these diverse benefits, ChatGPT has the potential to revolutionize the academic writing process, enhancing productivity, accessibility, and creativity across disciplines. However, it is essential to recognize the limitations of the tool and use it responsibly. When complemented by human expertise, ChatGPT serves as a powerful ally in producing high-quality, ethical, and impactful research outputs^[37].

3. Challenges and Limitations of ChatGPT in Research Writing

The integration of ChatGPT into academic writing has undoubtedly introduced remarkable efficiencies, but it is not without significant challenges and limitations. These range from ethical dilemmas and technical inadequacies to broader implications for scholarly practices and intellectual growth. A critical evaluation of these issues is imperative to understand the responsible use of AI in research writing. Below is a detailed exploration of the key challenges associated with ChatGPT in the academic context^[38–43].

3.1. Ethical Concerns

The integration of ChatGPT into academic writing raises profound ethical concerns that cannot be overlooked. One of the primary issues is the potential misuse of AI-generated content, where researchers might submit such material without proper acknowledgment, thus violating the principles of academic integrity. ChatGPT relies on patterns from its training data, and without critical oversight, it can inadvertently replicate or paraphrase existing material, increasing the risk of unintentional plagiarism. Moreover, the over-reliance on AI tools can create an illusion of originality,

effort, and scholarly expertise, which may compromise the trust and credibility that underpin academic research. The absence of standardized guidelines on the acceptable use of AI tools exacerbates these ethical challenges. Institutions and publishers must establish clear frameworks to ensure transparency in the use of ChatGPT, maintaining the integrity of academic practices and preserving the values of scholarship^[42–45].

3.2. Lack of Originality

While ChatGPT excels at synthesizing information, it fundamentally lacks the capacity to generate truly original or innovative ideas. Its responses are derived from pre-existing data, making it inherently limited in its ability to produce groundbreaking insights. Academic research demands originality in formulating hypotheses, crafting arguments, and interpreting results—areas where ChatGPT falls short. For disciplines that prioritize novel contributions, such as theoretical sciences or creative humanities, the use of ChatGPT may lead to generic outputs that lack depth and fail to advance knowledge meaningfully. This limitation underscores the importance of human ingenuity and critical thinking in academic writing, which no AI can replicate^[8, 22].

3.3. Reduced Critical Thinking

A critical challenge posed by ChatGPT is its potential to diminish researchers' engagement with their subject matter. Over-reliance on AI tools may result in a superficial approach to academic work, where the rigor of critical evaluation, analytical reasoning, and interpretative skills are sidelined. Writing, in its essence, is a cognitive process that fosters intellectual growth and problem-solving abilities. If researchers defer too heavily to AI-generated content, they risk neglecting opportunities to refine their understanding, develop nuanced arguments, and address complex academic challenges independently. This trend could have long-term implications for the academic community, creating a generation of scholars less equipped to engage deeply with their fields^[22, 46, 47].

3.4. Inaccuracy and Misinformation

Despite its sophisticated language capabilities, ChatGPT often produces content that is factually incorrect, mis-

leading, or entirely fabricated. A recurring issue is the generation of false references, such as non-existent authors, publications, or journals. In academic disciplines where precision and verifiability are paramount, such as medicine, engineering, and law, the dissemination of inaccurate information can have serious repercussions. The AI's inability to verify the accuracy of its outputs makes human oversight indispensable. Researchers must critically evaluate and cross-check the validity of AI-generated material to prevent the propagation of errors in their work^[22, 39].

3.5. Limitations in Understanding Context

Although ChatGPT can produce grammatically accurate and coherent text, it struggles to comprehend and address nuanced or complex academic contexts. For example, it often oversimplifies intricate theories or fails to account for the interdisciplinary nature of certain research questions. In cases where prompts are ambiguous, ChatGPT may generate content that is irrelevant or tangential to the intended topic, requiring significant effort to refine or reorient its outputs. This limitation reinforces the necessity for researchers to actively supervise and guide AI-generated material, ensuring that it aligns with the specific goals and depth required in scholarly writing^[14, 48, 49].

3.6. Lack of Accountability

Unlike human authors, ChatGPT cannot assume responsibility for the quality, accuracy, or ethical implications of its content. This places the onus entirely on researchers to validate and contextualize the material produced by the AI. If biases or inaccuracies arise, it is the researcher's obligation to rectify them, highlighting the importance of critical oversight.

This lack of accountability emphasizes that ChatGPT should be viewed as a tool to assist human authors rather than replace them. The ultimate responsibility for academic work rests solely with the researcher^[14, 49, 50].

3.7. Absence of Domain Expertise

While ChatGPT can mimic expertise across various subjects, it lacks genuine understanding and domain-specific knowledge. As a result, it often oversimplifies complex top-

ics or fails to engage with the subtleties and intricacies of specialized fields. For example, in experimental sciences, it cannot interpret raw data, critique methodologies, or draw nuanced conclusions.

Consequently, researchers must exercise caution when relying on ChatGPT for substantive analysis or decision-making, recognizing its limitations as a supplementary tool rather than a primary source of expertise^[2, 30, 51].

3.8. Risk of Over-Reliance

The efficiency and convenience of ChatGPT can foster a culture of excessive reliance on AI tools, with broader implications for the academic community. Overuse of such technologies may erode traditional academic practices, such as rigorous peer review, critical analysis, and hands-on learning. Furthermore, reliance on AI-generated content risks devaluing the intellectual effort required to produce high-quality research. To preserve the integrity and sustainability of academic scholarship, researchers must strike a balance between utilizing AI tools and maintaining the rigor of traditional practices^[32].

3.9. Ethical Ambiguity in Usage

The absence of universal guidelines on the ethical use of ChatGPT exacerbates the challenges of its integration into academic writing. Without clear standards, researchers may unknowingly breach ethical norms or misuse AI-generated content. This ambiguity creates the risk of eroding trust in academic work and undermining the authenticity of scholarly contributions. Establishing comprehensive ethical guidelines is essential to address these concerns, ensuring that ChatGPT is used responsibly and transparently across academic contexts^[30].

3.10. Dependency on Training Data

ChatGPT's performance is intrinsically tied to the quality and scope of its training data. If the underlying datasets contain biases, inaccuracies, or gaps, these flaws are inevitably reflected in the AI's outputs. Moreover, ChatGPT lacks real-time access to emerging research, limiting its ability to provide current or contextually accurate insights. This dependency underscores the importance of verifying

AI-generated content against reliable, up-to-date sources, reinforcing the need for human expertise in the research process^[21, 32].

While ChatGPT offers significant advantages in streamlining research writing, its limitations emphasize the need for responsible and informed use. Researchers must treat it as a complementary tool rather than a substitute for human expertise, ensuring that ethical principles, critical thinking, and scholarly rigor remain central to academic practices. By addressing these challenges, the academic community can harness the potential of ChatGPT while preserving the integrity and quality of research outputs^[24, 52, 53].

4. Conclusion and Recommendations

The integration of ChatGPT into academic writing marks a pivotal moment in the application of artificial intelligence within scholarly practices. As explored in this study, ChatGPT offers a suite of transformative benefits that address longstanding challenges in academic writing. These include enhanced productivity through task automation, linguistic support for non-native English speakers, and assistance in creativity and ideation. By streamlining time-consuming aspects of writing and fostering inclusivity, ChatGPT has emerged as a valuable tool for researchers navigating the complex demands of modern scholarship.

Nevertheless, the adoption of ChatGPT is not without significant limitations and challenges, which must be addressed to ensure its responsible use in academic contexts. Foremost among these are ethical concerns, particularly regarding the potential for plagiarism and the over-reliance on AI-generated content. These issues raise critical questions about the integrity of scholarly outputs and the authenticity of authorship. Furthermore, the tool's inherent inability to produce genuinely original ideas or critically interpret nuanced contexts limits its application in research that demands innovation and intellectual depth. Issues such as the propagation of misinformation, lack of accountability, and reduced critical engagement underscore the need for human oversight in all AI-assisted academic endeavors.

ChatGPT should not be regarded as a panacea for the challenges of academic writing, nor should it be dismissed as a disruptive threat. Rather, it occupies a middle ground as a complementary tool that, when utilized responsibly,

can augment certain aspects of the research-writing process. Researchers must exercise caution and discretion, treating ChatGPT as an aid that enhances their productivity without compromising the rigor, originality, and ethical standards that define academic excellence.

To fully harness the potential of ChatGPT while mitigating its risks, academic institutions, publishers, and the broader research community must establish comprehensive ethical guidelines for its use. Such guidelines should emphasize transparency in acknowledging AI assistance, provide clear boundaries for its application, and ensure adherence to the principles of academic integrity. Additionally, training programs on responsible AI usage should be incorporated into research curricula, equipping scholars with the skills and knowledge to utilize ChatGPT effectively while maintaining high standards of scholarly rigor.

Future research should prioritize addressing the existing limitations of ChatGPT and similar AI tools. Efforts should focus on improving their accuracy, contextual understanding, and capacity for producing domain-specific insights. Additionally, incorporating ethical safeguards and real-time fact-checking mechanisms into AI systems will enhance their reliability and utility in academic settings. Collaborative initiatives between AI developers and the academic community will be instrumental in aligning technological advancements with the standards, values, and evolving needs of scholarly research.

In conclusion, ChatGPT represents a significant step forward in the intersection of artificial intelligence and academic writing. By fostering a balanced and informed approach to its integration, researchers and institutions can ensure that ChatGPT evolves into a powerful ally in advancing knowledge. With continued collaboration, refinement, and ethical vigilance, this innovative tool has the potential to not only streamline the research-writing process but also enrich the academic landscape for future generations of scholars.

Funding

This work received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

Data may be provided if requested.

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges the freedom provided by the loneliness in the cold weather of Beijing, which helped him to keep focused on the article and complete it soon.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Thorp, H.H., 2023. ChatGPT is fun, but not an author. *Science*. 379(6630), 313.
- [2] Okonkwo, C.W., Ade-Ibijola, A., 2021. Chatbots applications in education: A systematic review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*. 2.
- [3] Omoge, A.P., Gala, P., Horky, A., 2022. Disruptive technology and AI in the banking industry of an emerging market. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*. 40(6), 1217–1247.
- [4] Mogaji, E., Olaleye, S., Ukpabi, D., 2020. Using AI to Personalise Emotionally Appealing Advertisement. 137–150.
- [5] Schuetzler, R.M., Grimes, G.M., Scott Giboney, J., 2020. The impact of chatbot conversational skill on engagement and perceived humanness. *Journal of Management Information Systems*. 37(3), 875–900.
- [6] Rowe, F., 2018. Being critical is good, but better with philosophy! From digital transformation and values to the future of IS research. *European Journal of Information Systems*. 27(3), 380–393.
- [7] Floridi, L., Chiriatti, M., 2020. GPT-3: Its Nature, Scope, Limits, and Consequences. *Minds and Machines*. 30(4), 681–694.
- [8] Gao, C.A., Howard, F.M., Markov, N.S., et al., 2022. Comparing scientific abstracts generated by ChatGPT to original abstracts using an artificial intelligence output detector, plagiarism detector, and blinded human reviewers. *bioRxiv*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.23.521610>
- [9] Merhi, M.I., 2023. An evaluation of the critical success factors impacting artificial intelligence implementation.

- International Journal of Information Management. 69.
- [10] Buhalis, D., Moldavska, I., 2022. Voice assistants in hospitality: using artificial intelligence for customer service. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*. 13(3), 386–403.
- [11] Dwivedi, Y.K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., et al., 2023. Opinion Paper: “So what if ChatGPT wrote it?” Multi-disciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*. 71, 102642.
- [12] Jensen, M.L., Dinger, M., Wright, R.T., et al., 2017. Training to Mitigate Phishing Attacks Using Mindfulness Techniques. *Journal of Management Information Systems*. 34(2), 597–626.
- [13] Dwivedi, Y.K., Hughes, L., Baabdullah, A.M., et al., 2022. Metaverse beyond the hype: Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*. 66.
- [14] Lokman, A.S., Ameen, M.A., 2019. Modern chatbot systems: A technical review. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*. 881, 1012–1023.
- [15] Qadir, J., 2022. Engineering Education in the Era of ChatGPT: Promise and Pitfalls of Generative AI for Education. *techRxiv*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36227/techrxiv.21789434.v1>
- [16] Alshater, M., 2023. Exploring the Role of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Academic Performance: A Case Study of ChatGPT. *SSRN Electronic Journal*.
- [17] Firat, M., 2023. How Chat GPT Can Transform Auto-didactic Experiences and Open Education? *OSF*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/9ge8m>
- [18] Kim, A., Dennis, A.R., 2019. Says who? The effects of presentation format and source rating on fake news in social media. *MIS Quarterly*. 43(3), 1025–1039.
- [19] Dwivedi, Y.K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., et al., 2023. Opinion Paper: “So what if ChatGPT wrote it?” Multi-disciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*. 71, 102642.
- [20] Drucker, P.F., 1999. Knowledge-worker productivity: The biggest challenge. *California Management Review*. (2), 79–94.
- [21] Kim, H., Shin, D.K., Yang, H., et al., 2019. A study of AI chatbot as an assistant tool for school English curriculum. *Korean Association For Learner-Centered Curriculum And Instruction*. 19(1), 89–110.
- [22] Else, H., 2023. Abstracts written by ChatGPT fool scientists. *Nature*. 613(7944), 423.
- [23] Cranefield, J., Winikoff, M., Chiu, Y.T., et al., 2023. Partnering with AI: the case of digital productivity assistants. *Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand*. 53(1), 95–118.
- [24] Chen, Y., Jensen, S., Albert, L.J., et al., 2023. Artificial Intelligence (AI) Student Assistants in the Classroom: Designing Chatbots to Support Student Success. *Information Systems Frontiers*. 25(1), 161–182.
- [25] Biswas, S., 2023. ChatGPT and the Future of Medical Writing. *Radiology*. 307(2).
- [26] Jo, H., 2024. From concerns to benefits: a comprehensive study of ChatGPT usage in education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*. 21(1), 35.
- [27] Yinping, Z., Yongxin, Z., 2023. Research on ChatGPT’s Strategy to Promote the Digital Transformation of Education. 2023 26th ACIS International Winter Conference on Software Engineering, Artificial Intelligence, Networking and Parallel/Distributed Computing, SNP-D-Winter 2023, 28–31.
- [28] Yang, H., 2023. How I use ChatGPT responsibly in my teaching. *Nature*.
- [29] Stokel-Walker, C., 2022. AI bot ChatGPT writes smart essays — should academics worry? *Nature*.
- [30] Seghier, M.L., 2023. ChatGPT: not all languages are equal. *Nature*. 615(7951), 216.
- [31] Kasneci, E., Sessler, K., Küchemann, S., et al., 2023. ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. *Learning and Individual Differences*. 103.
- [32] Kooli, C., 2023. Chatbots in Education and Research: A Critical Examination of Ethical Implications and Solutions. *Sustainability*. 15(7).
- [33] Lund, B.D., Wang, T., Mannuru, N.R., et al., 2023. ChatGPT and a new academic reality: Artificial Intelligence-written research papers and the ethics of the large language models in scholarly publishing. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*. 74(5), 570–581.
- [34] Johnke, R., Cummings, R., Di Lauro, F., 2023. Reclaiming the technology of higher education for teaching digital writing in a post—pandemic world. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*. 20(2).
- [35] Humphry, T., Fuller, A.L., 2023. Potential ChatGPT Use in Undergraduate Chemistry Laboratories. *Journal of Chemical Education*. 100(4), 1434–1436.
- [36] Gašević, D., Siemens, G., Sadiq, S., 2023. Empowering learners for the age of artificial intelligence. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*. 4.
- [37] Gentile, M., Città, G., Perna, S., Allegra, M., 2023. Do we still need teachers? Navigating the paradigm shift of the teacher’s role in the AI era. *Frontiers in Education*. 8.
- [38] Harrison, L.M., Hurd, E., Brinegar, K.M., 2023. Critical race theory, books, and ChatGPT: Moving from a ban culture in education to a culture of restoration. *Middle School Journal*. 54(3), 2–4.
- [39] Cotton, D.R.E., Cotton, P.A., Shipway, J.R., 2024. Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity

- in the era of ChatGPT. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*. 61(2), 228–239.
- [40] Chan, W.K., Yu, Y.T., Keung, J.W., et al., 2023. Toward AI-assisted Exercise Creation for First Course in Programming through Adversarial Examples of AI Models. *Software Engineering Education Conference, Proceedings, 2023-August*, 132–136.
- [41] Currie, G.M., 2023. Academic integrity and artificial intelligence: is ChatGPT hype, hero or heresy? *Seminars in Nuclear Medicine*. 53(5), 719–730.
- [42] Benuyenah, V., 2023. Commentary: ChatGPT use in higher education assessment: Prospects and epistemic threats. *Journal of Research in Innovative Teaching and Learning*. 16(1), 134–135.
- [43] Bahrini, A., Khamoshifar, M., Abbasimehr, H., et al., 2023. ChatGPT: Applications, Opportunities, and Threats. *2023 Systems and Information Engineering Design Symposium, SIEDS 2023*, 274–279.
- [44] Alamleh, H., Alqahtani, A.A.S., Elsaid, A., 2023. Distinguishing Human-Written and ChatGPT-Generated Text Using Machine Learning. *2023 Systems and Information Engineering Design Symposium, SIEDS 2023*, 154–158.
- [45] Cingillioglu, I., 2023. Detecting AI-generated essays: the ChatGPT challenge. *International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*. 40(3), 259–268.
- [46] Ray, P.P., 2023. ChatGPT: A comprehensive review on background, applications, key challenges, bias, ethics, limitations and future scope. *Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical Systems*. 3, 121–154.
- [47] Koubaa, A., Qureshi, B., Ammar, A., et al., 2023. Humans are still better than ChatGPT: Case of the IEEE-EXTreme competition. *Heliyon*. 9(11).
- [48] Tlili, A., Shehata, B., Adarkwah, M.A., et al., 2023. What if the devil is my guardian angel: ChatGPT as a case study of using chatbots in education. *Smart Learning Environments*. 10(1).
- [49] Ahmed, Y.A., Sharo, A., 2023. On the Education Effect of CHATGPT: Is AI CHATGPT to Dominate Education Career Profession? *2023 International Conference on Intelligent Computing, Communication, Networking and Services, ICCNS 2023*, 79–84.
- [50] Rospigliosi, P., 2023. Artificial intelligence in teaching and learning: what questions should we ask of ChatGPT? *Interactive Learning Environments*. 31(1), 1–3.
- [51] Susnjak, T., McIntosh, T.R., 2024. ChatGPT: The End of Online Exam Integrity? *Education Sciences*. 14(6).
- [52] Emenike, M.E., Emenike, B.U., 2023. Was This Title Generated by ChatGPT? Considerations for Artificial Intelligence Text-Generation Software Programs for Chemists and Chemistry Educators. *Journal of Chemical Education*. 100(4), 1413–1418.
- [53] Abdulquadri, A., Mogaji, E., Kieu, T.A., et al., 2021. Digital transformation in financial services provision: a Nigerian perspective to the adoption of chatbot. *Journal of Enterprising Communities*. 15(2), 258–281.